MISSOURI HEALTH INFORMATION SECURITY AND PRIVACY COLLABORATIVE

NOTE: Below is a chart comparing Missouri law to HIPAA for preemption purposes. The chart includes a brief description of each Missouri statute or regulation, references the applicable HIPAA provisions, indicates whether there is a conflict between Missouri law and HIPAA as well as which law governs, and provides commentary regarding the analysis. The final column indicates the implications for electronic health information exchange (HIE). Generally, the majority of the Missouri statutes and regulations listed below do not specifically address *electronic* HIE. To the extent the laws create barriers to HIE (such as requiring a court or administrative order for the release of records), they generally will cause such barriers regardless of whether the HIE is electronic or otherwise. In a few instances, however, electronic records are addressed in the last column.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
C	COUNTY, TOWNSHIP AND P	OLITIC	AL SUBDIVIS	SION GOVERNMENT	
	Circuit and Prosecutin	g Attorn	eys and Count	ty Counselors	
Investigative Subpoenas §56.085 • In the course of a criminal investigation, the prosecuting or circuit attorney may request a judge to issue an investigative subpoena for oral examination or production of documents.	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) CEs may disclose PHI in compliance with a court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, grand jury subpoena or, if certain requirements are met, an administrative request.	Yes	State	 Investigative subpoenas have the same effect under Missouri law as any other similar subpoena. Absent specific statutory authority under state law to disclose privileged information in 	• None
	1			response to a subpoena, CEs may not disclose such information, even if requested by a subpoena, without a patient waiver or a court/administrative order. <i>Ingram v</i> .	

1664508.6

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsbour Statute	Till Till Tilvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				 Mutual of Omaha, 170 F.Supp.2d 907 (W.D.Mo. 2001). Some CEs may consider a subpoena signed by a judge to be a court order and others may not and 	
				some may consider a subpoena signed by an attorney to be a court order while others may not.	
			l Inquests	C.P.	
Reporting of Deaths and	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may report	• None
Inquiry about Cause of	§164.512(a)			deaths and provide	
Death	CEs may use or disclose			relevant information	
§§58.451, 58.452, 58.720 and 58.722	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity			as part of an inquiry by the coroner or	
 Specified individuals are required to report certain deaths, including deaths of children under age eighteen to the coroner. The coroner or medical examiner is required to make inquiry into the 	to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Coroners and Medical Examiners			medical examiner without violating HIPAA because disclosure of PHI assists coroners or medical examiners in fulfilling their statutory duties of	
cause and manner of	§164.512(g)			identifying the cause	
death (by implication	• CEs may disclose PHI to			of death and is	
includes examination of	the coroner or medical			required by law.	

²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute medical records).	examiner for purposes of identifying the deceased and the cause of death.	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	CEs may use PHI for the same purposes if they are acting as the coroner or medical examiner in a given situation.				
			D LIBRARIES	S	
Immunizations	Pupils Public Health Activities	and Spec	cial Services State	CEs may disclose	None
§§167.181 and 167.183	§164.512(b)(1)(i)	110	State	immunization records	None
A record of immunization	• CEs may disclose PHI to a			to employees of	
must be prepared by	public health authority			public agencies,	
school superintendent for	authorized to receive such information for the			departments and	
each student showing immunization status and	purpose of preventing or			political subdivisions; health records staff of	
such records may be	controlling disease, injury			school districts; child	
disclosed and exchanged	or disability.			care facilities; health	
to the following to assure	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			care professionals;	
compliance with state	As Required by Law			and those entrusted	
statutes: employees of	§164.512(a)			with regular care of	
public agencies,	• CEs may use or disclose			those under care and	
departments and political	PHI without giving the			custody of state	
subdivisions; health	individual the opportunity			agency without	
records staff of school	to agree or object and			violating HIPAA	
districts; child care	without an authorization if			because it is a	
facilities; health care	it is required by law and is			permissible public	
professionals; and those	limited to the			health activity.	
entrusted with regular	requirements of such law.				

³

Missouri Statute care of those under care and custody of state agency.	HIPAA Privacy Regulations Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to public health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law.	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA? State	Discussion and Conclusion CEs may disclose PHI to the above listed individuals and entities to comply with state statutes.	Implications for Electronic HIE
		EALTH	AND WELFA	RE	
	Regu	lation of	Abortions		
Reporting of Abortions §§188.052 and 188.055 • §188.052 Abortion reports and abortion complication reports, which contain health information, must be submitted to DHSS.	 Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. 	No	State	CEs may report abortions and their complications pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose				

⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.				
 §188.055 Information obtained by a physician, hospital or abortion facility from a patient for the purpose of preparing reports to DHSS and the information included in the reports received by DHSS is confidential. Such information may generally be used only for statistical purposes. 	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may use abortion information 	
				for statistical purposes (health care operations) only if they comply with the more stringent	

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	Implications for Electronic HIE
Such information may be disclosed as part of an inspection for public health purposes.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	No	State	CEs may disclose abortion information to public health authorities for public health purposes pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
	Em	ergency	Services		
Licensure: Emergency Services §190.175.4 • An ambulance service licensee or emergency medical response agency licensee must make records available for inspection by DHSS.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to public health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS under a state licensing inspection without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose				

⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsou'i Statute	Time invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.				
Data Collection Law §190.176	Preemption Exception §160.203(c)	No	State	• CEs may report data to DHSS pursuant to	
 DHSS shall develop and administer a uniform data collection system on all ambulance runs and injured patients. Hospitals are not required to disclose certain data. 	 Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. 			the data collection law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and				
	without an authorization if it is required by law and is				

⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, J	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	limited to the				
	requirements of such law.				
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Licensure: Peer Review Systems of Trauma	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d)	No	State	CEs such as trauma centers may disclose	
Centers	• CEs may disclose PHI to			PHI to DHSS as part	
§190.245	public health oversight			of the licensing	
Hospitals designated as	agencies for oversight			authority of DHSS	
trauma centers are	activities authorized by			without violating	
required to implement a	law, including audits,			HIPAA because it is	
peer review system for	investigations,			a permissible health	
trauma patients and	inspections, licensure etc.			oversight activity.	
DHSS has licensing	A D . 11 T			DHSS is a CE to the	
authority necessary to	As Required by Law			extent it is a health	
ensure compliance.DHSS may only use the	§164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose			care provider but it is a hybrid entity	
records to implement	PHI without giving the			because it also has	
such statutes and may not	individual the opportunity			non-covered	
re-disclose the PHI.	to agree or object and			functions.	
To disclose the Fift.	without an authorization if			Because the state	

⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Covered Entity §160.103 • A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.	met?	or HIPAA:	law limitations on further disclosure by DHSS relate to its function as a public health authority and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. Thus, further disclosure by DHSS is governed by state law.	Electronic HTE
	 Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity. 				

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
		THE CO	01 1111 1111	Concidion	Licetrome III
	He	alth and	Welfare		
Patients' Access to Medical Records §191.227	Access of Individuals to PHI §164.524	NT-	State	A	
Access Requires a licensed health care provider to furnish copies of patient's medical records to patients or their legal representatives upon request.	Access CEs must allow, with certain limitations, an individual to inspect and obtain a copy of his or her PHI contained in a designated record set.	No	State	Access Because both Missouri law and HIPAA allow individuals to have access to their PHI, CEs may follow state law regarding such access, except as limited below.	
 Limitations on Access Allows denial of access based on therapeutic privilege (limits access if consistent with the patient's condition and sound therapeutic treatment). 	 Limitations on Access Individuals have no access to psychotherapy notes that are maintained separately from the rest of their medical record. 	Yes	State	Limitations on Access CEs must disclose psychotherapy notes that are part of the patient's medical record pursuant to Missouri law, even though it is prohibited under HIPAA because Missouri law provides greater rights of access by patients and is	

¹⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	CEs may deny access in certain situations. The following disclosures are "reviewable" under	Yes	or HIPAA? HIPAA	 therefore more stringent. CEs may not limit a patient's access to his or her medical records for 	Electronic HIE
	HIPAA by a licensed health care professional (designated by the CE) who did not participate in the original decision to deny access.			therapeutic reasons as permitted under Missouri law because HIPAA provides greater access by the patient and is thus more	
	Disclosures that are reasonably likely to endanger the individual or another Disclosures that are			stringent in that HIPAA requires some danger to the individual or others as opposed to	
	2. Disclosures that are reasonably likely to cause substantial harm to a person referenced in the PHI <i>or</i>			general considerations of sound therapeutic treatment.	
	3. Disclosures upon the request of a personal representative where disclosure is likely to cause substantial harm				

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	to the individual or another.	222000			
Time for Access ● Copies must be furnished within a reasonable time from the receipt of the request and payment.	Time for access Requires CEs to act upon an individual's request for access within 30 to 90 days, depending on the situation.	Yes	HIPAA	Time for access To the extent a "reasonable time" under Missouri law exceeds the specific time frames in HIPAA, it is preempted by the more stringent HIPAA standard.	Time frame for providing copies of records will presumably be easier to meet with electronic records.
Fees ■ Allows a health care provider to charge a "handling fee" of \$17.05 and a per-page copying fee of \$.40 plus a reasonable fee for duplications not able to be copied on a standard copy machine. The standard fee is increased February 1 of each year in accordance with the CPI and is published by DHSS on its Web site.	 Fees If the individual requests a copy of his or her own records, HIPAA allows CEs to charge a "reasonable cost-based fee," including the cost of copying (paper, labor, etc) and postage. Such a fee may be charged for the preparation of a summary of the PHI if the individual requests it. Handling or retrieval fees are prohibited with respect to requests by the 	Yes and No	State and HIPAA (depends on the situation)	Fees Copying Fees: With respect to fees charged for making copies at an individual's request, HIPAA requires reasonable, costbased fees. Though Missouri has determined by statute that a reasonable fee with respect to ordinary copies is \$.37 per page, it might not be	• Cost of providing patient <i>electronic</i> copy of record is not provided for by state law so until statutorily defined, the fee must be a reasonable, costbased fee per HIPAA.

¹²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, c	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	individual.			considered a "cost-	
				based" fee under	
				HIPAA because it is	
				based on a statutory	
				amount and not the	
				actual cost of the	
				copies. The	
				Missouri statutory	
				fees appear to be a	
				maximum fee	
				permitted under state	
				law. However, to	
				the extent the actual	
				cost of the copies is	
				less than the state	
				statutory amount,	
				HIPAA may	
				preempt Missouri's	
				statutory fee and	
				require CEs to	
				charge less than	
				what is permitted	
				under Missouri law.	
				• <i>Handling Fee</i> : CEs	• Prohibition on
				may not charge a	charging a handling
				handling or retrieval	fee would presumably
				fee in connection	apply also to
				with an individual's	electronic records.
				request for copies of	

¹³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				his or her own	
				records as permitted	
				under Missouri law	
				because it would	
				reduce the	
				individual's access	
				to their PHI and is	
				thus less stringent	
				than HIPAA, which	
				does not permit such	
				a fee to be charged.	
				Requests by the	
				individual will likely	
				be construed to	
				include the	
				individual's	
				"personal	
				representative" as	
				defined under	
				HIPAA or their	
				"legally authorized	
				representative" as	
				defined under state	
				law. (See preamble	
				for further	
				discussion of this	
				issue). To the extent	
				the request is not	
				from the individual	
				or such a	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Reporting of Infant PKU	Preemption Exception	No	State	representative, CEs may charge the handling fee under state law. • CEs may disclose	
Testing §191.331 • Mandatory testing and reporting of infants diagnosed with phenylketonuria (PKU) and such other metabolic and genetic diseases as prescribed by DHSS.	 §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. 			PHI as part of the required reporting under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by state law and is a permissible public health activity.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.				

¹⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Reporting of Exposure to Infectious Disease	Individually Identifiable Health Information	No	State	The information permitted to be	
 ♦ 191.631 • When a care provider (defined as a person who is employed as an emergency medical care provider, firefighter, or police officer) "sustains an exposure" while rendering emergency treatment to a patient, the patient is deemed to have consented to being tested for contagious or infectious diseases and to the notification of the care provider regarding 	 §160.103 Individually identifiable health information includes health information relating to past present or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual. De-identification of PHI §164.514 Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI. 			disclosed in the Missouri statute is PHI because it includes a patient number and thus is not de-identified. CEs may report the existence of an infectious disease to care providers as required under Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from	
the results of such tests.Patients must only be	Preemption Exception			preemption and their disclosure is a	

¹⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
identified by a number unless they consent to inclusion of their name.	 §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. 	met:		permissible public health activity.	EXECTION THE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.				
Blood-borne pathogens §191.640 • Identifies items required to be contained in a	TPO §164.506 • CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment,	Yes	HIPAA	Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers	

¹⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	in in investigations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
sharps injury log.	payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c)(2) • Direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.	THEL.		for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI for the maintenance of a sharps injury log only if they comply with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	Executonic THE
Allows any person to report to DHSS a violation of this section.	 Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to public health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. 	No	State	• CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS as part of a report of a violation of law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsia in Statute	Time invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Reporting of HIV to DHSS §191.653 19 CSR 20-20.090 • §191.653 All persons authorized to perform HIV tests must report to DHSS the identity of any individual confirmed to be infected with HIV. • 19 CSR 20-20.090 Requires a provider to notify an employer of any first responder who may have been exposed to HIV or any other reportable communicable disease.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority	No No	State	CEs may report HIV cases to DHSS and notify the employer of the exposure of a first responder as required under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required under state law and is a permissible public health activity.	Electronic HIE

¹⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	Inct.	or min	Conclusion	Electronic IIIE
HIV and AIDS	,				
 §191.656 Confidentiality and Exceptions Makes information regarding a person's HIV/AIDS status confidential and prohibits any person from disclosing such information except for disclosures to: 	Uses and Disclosures: General Rules §164.502 • CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.	Yes	State	• Absent the applicability of the listed exceptions, the general state standard of confidentiality of HIV and AIDS information might be more stringent than certain provisions in HIPAA that would otherwise permit	
Public employees who need to know to perform their public duties	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) ■ CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority	No	State	 disclosure. CEs may disclose PHI pertaining to an individual's HIV/AIDS status 	

²⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.			pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
2. Peace officers, attorney general and his or her assistants and prosecutors.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	Yes	HIPAA	• It is likely that Missouri law would be preempted because it would permit disclosure of PHI that is otherwise prohibited under HIPAA without an authorization. However, one could argue against preemption by interpreting HIPAA in a way that a law enforcement official may be considered a public health authority in this situation for this limited purpose.	
3. Non-public	Public Health Activities	No	State	CEs may disclose to	
employees who	§164.512(b)(1)(iv)			non-public	

²¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
regularly care for a person in the custody of state (e.g. foster parents).	CEs may disclose PHI to a person who may be at risk of contracting a disease or condition if the CE or a public health authority is authorized by law to notify such person.			employees PHI pertaining to an individual's HIV/AIDS status pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
Immunity from Liability for Breach of Confidentiality					
• Unless they act in bad faith or with conscious disregard, individuals are not liable for violating any right to confidentiality if they disclose information about a person's HIV/AIDS status to:					
1. DHSS	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS as permitted under Missouri law without violating	

²²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.			HIPAA because such disclosure is permissible as a public health activity.	
2. Health care personnel providing direct patient care who have a reasonable need to know.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may disclose PHI to a person who may be at risk of contracting a disease or condition if the CE or a public health authority is authorized by law to notify such person.	No	State	CEs may disclose the HIV/AIDS status of a patient pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
3. Pursuant to the written authorization of the subject of the test.	 Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards. 	Yes	HIPAA	Because HIPAA is more stringent than state law with respect to the contents of an authorization, it preempts state law. Thus, CEs may only disclose HIV/AIDS information pursuant to an authorization under state law if the authorization is HIPAA compliant or	

²³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				a HIPAA exception applies.	
4. Spouse of subject of the test.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.	No	State	CEs may disclose an individual's HIV/AIDS status to the individual's spouse pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
5. The subject of the test.	Right of Access §164.524 • An individual has a right of access to inspect and obtain a copy of his or her own PHI.	No	State	• CEs may disclose to the subject of an HIV/AIDS test the results of that test under both Missouri law and HIPAA. But keep in mind the preemption issues in terms of the right of access to medical records under Missouri law (as discussed with respect to §191.227, RSMo).	

²⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, G	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
6. Parent or legal guardian of a minor who is the subject of the test.	Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to an individual's parent, guardian or person acting in loco parentis who has authority to act on behalf of an unemancipated minor in making decisions related to health care. Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) CEs may disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in making decisions related to health care.	Yes and No	State and HIPAA (depends on the situation)	 CEs may disclose to the parent or legal guardian of an unemancipated minor information about the HIV/AIDS status of such minor without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is allowed under HIPAA where, as here, it is permitted under state law. CEs may disclose to a parent of an emancipated minor information about the HIV/AIDS status of such minor without violating HIPAA only if the parent has authority to act on behalf of the minor in making decisions related to health care. 	
7. The victims of certain sex crimes.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv)	No	State	CEs may disclose information about	

²⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.			the HIV/AIDS status of an individual to the victim of certain sex crimes pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such victims may have been exposed to a communicable disease.	
8. Employees of certain state licensing boards in relation to certain disciplinary actions.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose information about an individual's HIV/AIDS status to various licensing boards pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Civil Immunity for Health Care Providers				oversight activity.	
Health care providers will not have civil liability for					

²⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Miss	ouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
			flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
1.	Making a good faith report to DHSS about a person reasonably believed to be infected with HIV.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	No	State	CEs may disclose information about an individual's HIV/AIDS status to DHSS pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
2.	Cooperating in good faith with DHSS in an investigation to determine whether a court order will be sought to direct a person to undergo HIV testing.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	No	State	CEs may disclose information about an individual's HIV/AIDS status to DHSS pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
3.	Participating in good faith in any judicial proceeding resulting in such a report or investigation.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CE may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or	Yes	НІРАА	CEs may not disclose the HIV/AIDS status of an individual in a judicial proceeding pursuant to this Missouri statute unless HIPAA	

²⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	administrative tribunal or, if certain requirements are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.			would also permit such disclosure. To the extent HIPAA only permits disclosure in response to a court or administrative order or, if certain requirements are met, other types of requests in a judicial proceeding, HIPAA is more stringent and	
Confidentiality of Communications • All communications between the subject of the HIV testing and person performing the test shall be privileged.	Individually Identifiable Health Information §160.103 • Individually identifiable health information includes health information relating to past present or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual.	No	State	 Communications between the subject of the test and the person performing the test are protected under both state law and HIPAA. 	
Research ProjectsThe identity of an	Uses and Disclosures: General Rules §164.502	No	State	CEs must comply with state law and HIPAA by not	

²⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
TVIISSOUTI STUDIES	Till I II weey Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
individual participating in a research project approved by an IRB shall not be reported to DHSS by the physician conducting the research.	CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.			reporting PHI in these types of research projects because neither law permits or requires such disclosure.	
HIV Information: Court Order for Release §191.657 • Lists circumstances under which a court order may be obtained for the disclosure of confidential HIV-related information.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	No	State	CEs may disclose confidential HIV-related information pursuant to a court order obtained under Missouri law without violating HIPAA because disclosure pursuant to a court order is permitted under HIPAA.	
	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) CEs may disclose PHI in compliance with a court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, grand jury				

²⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	subpoena or, if certain requirements are met, an administrative request.				
HIV Information: Disclosure to Exposed Workers §191.658 Allows disclosure by DHSS to a health care provider who is treating a health care worker or law enforcement officer following a "medically significant exposure" of the source individual's HIV status if it is on file with DHSS. The health care provider can then disclose to the health care worker or law enforcement officer under certain circumstances. Further disclosure by any of the above individuals is prohibited.	Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of	No	State	 DHSS is a CE to the extent it is a health care provider but it is a hybrid entity because it also has non-covered functions. DHSS may disclose PHI to health care workers and law enforcement officers under this provision of state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is not governed by HIPAA in that the disclosure is part of a non-covered public health function of DHSS. Health care providers may 	
	a hybrid entity.			disclose PHI to the health care worker	

³⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	Till I II wey Itegulusons	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person. Uses and Disclosures: General Rules §164.502 CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.	flict?	or HIPAA?	or law enforcement officer under this provision of state law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity. To the extent Missouri law prohibits further disclosure by the individuals listed in the statute, HIPAA also prohibits such disclosure unless the individual disclosing is not a CE.	Electronic HIE
HIV: Required Testing and Disclosure of Results §191.663 • Lists certain circumstances when HIV testing is required without an individual's consent. • Lists certain circumstances when results may or must be	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public	No	State	• If a CE performs the required tests under §191.663, RSMo, the CE may report pursuant to that statute without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is a	

³¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wiissouri Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations			10 0 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
reported to the victims of certain sex crimes and the administrator of a jail.	health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the	flict?	or HIPAA?	permissible public health activity.	Electronic HIE
	requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.				
HIV Testing by Dept. of Insurance §191.671	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI to a	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS for public health	

³²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Missouri Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
• Results of HIV test for applicant for insurance coverage shall be disclosed to a physician designated by the subject of test; If no physician is designated, the identity of those individuals residing in MO having a confirmed positive HIV test will be disclosed to DHSS.	public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	flict?	or HIPAA?	activities as preventing or controlling disease.	Electronic HIE
HIV Testing by Court Order §191.674 • After DHSS has made a reasonable attempt to obtain informed consent, DHSS may request a court order that an individual undergo HIV testing when there are reasonable grounds to believe the individual is	Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(i) • CEs may, consistent with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if they have a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary to prevent or lessen a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of a	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the court in order to obtain an order for HIV testing under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is permitted in order to avert a serious and imminent threat to health or safety.	

³³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Missouri Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations				_
infected with HIV and there is clear and convincing evidence of a serious and present health threat to others. • Records of any suit filed pursuant to this section are closed to the public.	person or the public AND the disclosure is to a person reasonably able to prevent or lessen such threat. Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CE may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain requirements are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	flict?	or HIPAA?	• If a CE performs the test, it may disclose to the relevant parties as ordered by the court.	Electronic HIE
Prohibited Acts if	Judicial and Administrative	Yes	HIPAA	CEs may not	
Knowingly Infected with	Proceedings			disclose the	
HIV §191.677	§164.512(e)(1)(i) • CE may disclose PHI in			HIV/AIDS status of	
	the course of any judicial			an individual in a judicial proceeding	
• DHSS may file a complaint with the prosecuting	or administrative			unless HIPAA	
attorney and shall assist the	proceeding in response to			would also permit	
prosecution in preparing a	an order by the court or			disclosure. To the	
case against one infected	administrative tribunal or,			extent HIPAA only	

³⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissouri Statute	Till 7471 Tilvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
with HIV who knowingly performs prohibited acts listed in this statute. This includes turning over records concerning the person's HIV infected status.	if certain requirements are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process. Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) CEs may disclose PHI in compliance with a court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, grand jury subpoena or, if certain requirements are met, an administrative request.	inct:		permits disclosure in response to a court or administrative order or, if certain requirements are met, other types of requests in a judicial proceeding, HIPAA is more stringent and must be followed.	Executonic THE
Notice to Schools of HIV Status §191.689 • Once school superintendents have notice of a student infected with HIV, they may disclose the identity of an infected child to those at school who determine fitness of individuals to attend	Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(i) • CEs may, consistent with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if they have a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary to prevent or lessen a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of a	No	State	• CEs may disclose the HIV/AIDS status of a student to those at the school who have a reasonable need to know in order to provide proper healthcare. People that need to provide proper healthcare are reasonably able to prevent or lessen the	

³⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
school and those who have a reasonable need to know in order to provide proper healthcare.	person or the public AND the disclosure is to a person reasonably able to prevent or lessen such threat.	met:	or initiaa:	threat to the health or safety of other students and health care providers.	Electronic IIIE
HIV Status or Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) Status: Practice Restrictions §191.700					
• If a health care professional who performs invasive procedures participates in a voluntary evaluation process resulting in restrictions or limitations being placed on the individual's medical practice, DHSS may disclose it to the health care facilities where the health care professional provides patient care.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.	No	State	DHSS may disclose PHI to health care providers pursuant to this statute without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	
A health care facility may maintain peer review procedures and may monitor compliance	TPO \$164.506 • CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment,	Yes	HIPAA	Because disclosure for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the	

³⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
with practice restrictions or limitations.	payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c)(2) Direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.			requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs may disclose PHI for peer review purposes (health care operations) only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
Any violation of practice restrictions or limitations may be reported to the appropriate state licensing board.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may report violations of practice restrictions to a state licensing board without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
HIV/HBV: Notification of	As Required by Law	No	State	Coroners and Medical	
Funeral Directors,	§164.512(a)			Examiners	
Coroners and Medical	CEs may use or disclose			 CEs may disclose 	

³⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Examiners	PHI without giving the			the HIV or HBV	
§191.703	individual the opportunity			status of a deceased	
19 CSR 20-20.090(3) & (4)	to agree or object and			to the coroner or	
A licensed health care	without an authorization if			medical examiner as	
facility that treats a	it is required by law and is			required under state	
patient having HIV or	limited to the			law without	
HBV infection or any	requirements of such law.			violating HIPAA	
other reportable				because it is required	
infectious or contagious	Coroners and Medical			by law; it could be	
disease shall notify the	Examiners			for the purposes of	
funeral home, coroner or	§164.512(g)			identifying the cause	
medical examiner of such	CEs may disclose PHI to			of death; and such	
disease prior to removal	the coroner or medical			PHI is being	
of the deceased from the	examiner for purposes of			disclosed to one who	
health care facility.	identifying the deceased			may be at risk of	
	and the cause of death.			contracting a	
	Allows disclosure of PHI			communicable	
	to funeral directors			disease.	
	consistent with applicable				
	law as necessary to carry			<u>Funeral Directors</u>	
	out their duties.			CEs may disclose	
				the HIV or HBV	
	Public Health Activities			status of a decedent	
	§164.512(b)(1)(iv)			to the funeral	
	CEs may report PHI to a			director as required	
	person who may have			by state law without	
	been exposed to a			violating HIPAA	
	communicable disease or			because it is required	
	may be at risk of			by law; is necessary	
	contracting or spreading			for the funeral	

³⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person.			director to carry out his or her duties; and such PHI is being disclosed to one who may be at risk of contracting a communicable disease.	
Reporting of Children Exposed to Substance Abuse §191.737 • Providers may refer families to DHSS when children may have been exposed to controlled substances.	Preemption Exception §160.203 Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI as part of referring or reporting a family to DHSS under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is a permissible public health activity.	

³⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Reporting of High-Risk Pregnancies §191.743 Physicians and health care providers shall report high-risk pregnancies to DHSS if they obtain the patient's consent.	Preemption Exception §160.203 Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is	Yes	State	 CEs may report high-risk pregnancies to DHSS under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity. However, because state law requires consent of the patient before such reporting, it is more stringent than HIPAA. Thus, consent under state law must be 	
	limited to the requirements of such law.			obtained but it need not meet the requirements for a	

⁴⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities			HIPAA	
	§164.512(b)(1)(i)			authorization.	
	CEs may disclose PHI to a				
	public health authority				
	authorized to receive such				
	information for the				
	purpose of preventing or				
	controlling disease, injury				
	or disability.				
Testing of Women and	De-identification of PHI	No	State	• To the extent	
Infants at Time of Delivery	§164.514			identifying or	
§191.745	• Lists all elements of PHI			demographic	
• Upon request of DHSS, a	that must be eliminated in			information is	
physician providing	order to de-identify the			provided to DHSS,	
obstetrical or	PHI.			the samples and	
gynecological care shall				information would	
obtain "test samples" to	As Required by Law			constitute PHI and	
send to DHSS for	§164.512(a)			would not be	
analysis and study.	CEs may use or disclose			considered de-	
Samples are to be	PHI without giving the			identified.	
provided without	individual the opportunity			CEs may disclose DILLA DILLS	
identifying information	to agree or object and without an authorization if			such PHI to DHSS	
unless DHSS requests				as required by Missouri law	
certain demographic	it is required by law and is limited to the				
information necessary to				without violating HIPAA because it is	
interpret the results.	requirements of such law.			required by state law	
	Public Health Activities			and is a permissible	
	\$164.512(b)(1)(i)			public health	
	. , . , . ,			activity.	
	CEs may disclose PHI to a	<u> </u>		activity.	

⁴¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Fraud and Abuse Investigations by Attorney General §191.910 • The Attorney General has the authority to investigate health care payment fraud and abuse.	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the Attorney General as part of a fraud and abuse investigation under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law and is pursuant to a permissible health oversight activity.	
Reporting of Newborn	Preemption Exception	No	State	CEs may report to	
Hearing Tests	§160.203(c)			DHSS the results of	

⁴²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
TVIISSOUTT SOUTURE	Till I II we j Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 §191.925 Every newborn shall be screened for hearing loss and the results reported to parents or legal guardian and DHSS. Parents or guardian may refuse testing on religious grounds but such refusal must be documented and reported to DHSS. 	 Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the 	THEC.		newborn hearing tests or the refusal of such tests pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by state law and is a permissible public health activity.	

⁴³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
	Department of	Health	and Senior Ser	rvices	
Disease Prevention: Authority of DHSS §192.020 19 CSR 20-20.020 DHSS is granted authority to promulgate regulations for the purpose of preventing the spread of contagious or communicable diseases. This is implemented by 19 CSR 20-20.020, which requires the reporting of diseases listed therein.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	CEs may report communicable diseases as required under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by state law and is a permissible public health activity.	

⁴⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Vital Statistics §192.060 (See Chapter 193 for specific information about what should be reported) • Gives DHSS authority to receive information about vital statistics.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention.	No	State	CEs may report vital statistics to DHSS under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required under state law and is a permissible public health activity.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) ■ CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the				

⁴⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is				
	limited to the requirements of such law.				
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority				
	authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Epidemiological Studies by DHSS and Requirement of	Preemption Exception §160.203(c)	No	State	CEs may report medical record	
Confidentiality	Generally, HIPAA			information to DHSS for	
§192.067 • Grants authority to	preempts contrary state laws.			epidemiological	
DHSS to receive medical record information for	One exception to that rule is when the state law			studies under state law without	
epidemiological studies.	provides for the reporting			violating HIPAA	
 Requires DHSS to 	of disease or injury, child			because such reports	
maintain confidentiality of information it	abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public			are exempted from preemption and their	
receives.	health surveillance,			disclosure is	
 DHSS may release 	investigation or			required by law and	

⁴⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
information in a statistical aggregate form that precludes identification of the patient, physician or medical facility. • DHSS may also release information in medical record form to other public health authorities or co-investigators of a health study.	 Public Health Activities \$164.512(b) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. Covered Entity \$160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity \$164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care 			is a permissible public health activity. • DHSS is a CE to the extent it is a health care provider but it is a hybrid entity because it also has non-covered functions. • Because the state law limitations on further disclosure by DHSS related to its function as a public health authority and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. • Thus, further disclosure by DHSS is governed by state law.	

⁴⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.				
Reporting by HMOs to DHSS §192.068 • Entities licensed pursuant to §\$354.400-354.636, RSMo are required to submit certain data to DHSS regarding quality of care, access to care, member satisfaction and member health status. • DHSS is limited in its release of such information to the public.	 Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law requires a health plan to report or allow access to information for the purpose of management and financial audits, program monitoring and evaluation, or the licensure or certification of facilities or individuals. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity 	No	State	 HMOs as CEs may report data to DHSS pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity. DHSS is a CE to the extent it is a health care provider but it is a hybrid entity because it also has non-covered functions. Because the state law limitations on 	

⁴⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
111155541154444		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	met?	or HIPAA:	further disclosure by DHSS relate to its function as a public health authority and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. Thus, further disclosure by DHSS is governed by state law.	Electronic HTE
	Covered Entity §160.103 • A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.				
	 Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has 				

⁴⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	met:	or mraa:	Conclusion	Electronic HTE
Reporting by Medical Treatment Facilities and Nursing Homes §192.138 Institutions licensed under Chapter 197 and 198, RSMo are required to report infectious diseases pursuant to state law only to the extent it is consistent with federal law.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose	No	State	CEs may report infectious diseases under state law without further limitation and without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	

⁵⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Reporting of Cancer Cases §§192.650; 192.653; 192.655 19 CSR 70-21.010 Requires physicians and other health care providers to report to DHSS certain information regarding malignant neoplasms. Gives DHSS authority to promulgate regulations	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) ● Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. ● One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance,	No	State	CEs may report data regarding malignant neoplasms to DHSS pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible	 Per 19 CSR 70- 21.010, Hospitals that electronically report such information must use the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries (NAACCR) layout. The CDC National

⁵¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute H	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
11223330222300000		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
regarding such reporting. Places limitations on the release of information and data by DHSS for exchange for cancer registries; Patient's identity must be protected.	investigation or intervention. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities \$164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. Covered Entity \$160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health				

⁵²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	THEC.			Electronic TITE
Reporting of Patient Abstract and Financial Data by Health Care Providers §192.667 19 CSR 10-33.010; 19 CSR 10-33.040; 19 CSR 10-33.050 • Requires hospitals and ambulatory surgical	 Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or 	No	State	CEs may report data to DHSS as required under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by state law	• The Missouri regulations define the following as acceptable electronic media: (1) IBM-3480 compatible (1.2") 18 track tape uncompressed or 3490 compressed; (2) IBM formatted

⁵³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
centers to annually report patient abstract and financial data to DHSS. Places limitations on the use and disclosure of the information by DHSS.	for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	inet:	OF HIPAA:	and is a permissible public health activity. DHSS is a CE to the extent it is a health care provider but it is a hybrid entity because it also has non-covered functions. Because the state law limitations on use and disclosure by DHSS relate to its function as a public health authority and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. Thus, use and disclosure by DHSS is governed by state law.	1.44Mb diskette; or (3) other magnetic media may be accepted with prior approval of DHSS.
	 Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that 				

⁵⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.				
	 Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. 				
	 Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity. 				
Reporting of Head and Spinal Cord Injuries §192.737 • Requires physicians and hospitals to report traumatic head and spinal cord injuries.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury	No	State	CEs may report traumatic head and spinal cord injuries under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law and	

⁵⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	or disability.			is a permissible	
				public health	
	As Required by Law			activity.	
	§164.512(a)				
	CEs may use or disclose				
	PHI without giving the				
	individual the opportunity				
	to agree or object and				
	without an authorization if				
	it is required by law and is				
	limited to the				
	requirements of such law.				
Communicable Disease	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may disclose	
Exposure: Notification of	§164.512(a)			information about	
First Responders	CEs may use or disclose			communicable	
§§192.800, 192.802,	PHI without giving the			diseases as required	
192.804, 192.806, 192.808	individual the opportunity			by state law without	
19 CSR 30-40.047	to agree or object and			violating HIPAA	
	without an authorization if			because such	
§192.800	it is required by law and is			disclosure is	
Definitions.	limited to the			required by law and	
	requirements of such law.			is a permissible	
§192.802				public health	
• "First responders" and	Public Health Activities			activity.	
"Good Samaritans" may,	§164.512(b)(1)(iv)			• The designated	
after an exposure that	CEs may report PHI to a			health officer could	
may present a significant	person who may have			be from DHSS or a	
risk of a communicable	been exposed to a			local public health	
disease, request that the	communicable disease or			agency and therefore	

⁵⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wingsouri Statute	Till Till Till tacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
licensed facility or	may be at risk of			such officers are part	
designated health officer	contracting or spreading			of a CE to the extent	
report certain information	the disease if the CE is			the entities are	
to the first responder or	authorized by law to			health care providers	
Good Samaritan if they	notify such person.			but they would only	
determine that such an				be hybrid entities	
exposure did occur.	Covered Entity			because they would	
Testing of the patient for	§160.103			have non-covered	
communicable diseases is	A covered entity includes			functions.	
not authorized or	health care providers that			Because the	
required by this statute.	transmit health			regulatory	
	information in electronic			limitations on use of	
§§192.804; 192.806	form in connection with a			communicable	
• Rules re: form of request	transaction covered by			disease information	
for information about	HIPAA.			by DHSS and	
communicable disease				similar agencies	
exposure and process for	Hybrid Entity			relates to their public	
responding.	§164.504(a)			health function,	
	A hybrid entity is a type			HIPAA does not	
§192.808	of covered entity that has			regulate such	
• Cannot require testing of	covered and non-covered			disclosure.	
patient.	functions. Such entities			• Thus, further use	
	have the obligation to			and disclosure by	
19 CSR 30-40.047	designate their health care			those agencies is	
 Regulation provides 	components.			governed by state	
details on reporting to				law.	
first responders and	Health Care Component				
Good Samaritans.	§164.504(b)				
 Regulation provides 	HIPAA only applies to the				
limitations on the use of	health care component of				

⁵⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
communicable disease	a hybrid entity.				
information by DHSS					
and local public health					
agencies.					
		Vital Sta			
Birth and Death	Preemption Exception	No	State	 CEs may report 	 State law specifies
Certificates	§160.203(c)			births and deaths as	that vital records
§§193.085, 193.087,	 Generally, HIPAA 			required by state law	may be maintained
193.105, 193.125, 193.135,	preempts contrary state			without violating	electronically
193.145, 193.165, 193.175,	laws.			HIPAA because	(§§193.085 and
193.225, 193.275,	One exception to that rule			such reports are	193.225)
19 CSR 10-10.010 et seq.	is when the state law			exempted from	
	provides for the reporting			preemption and their	
§§193.085, 193.087,	of disease or injury, child			disclosure is	
193.105, 193.125, 193.135	abuse, birth or death, or			required by law and	
• Filing of certificate of	for the conduct of public			is a permissible	
live birth required,	health surveillance,			public health	
containing certain	investigation or			activity.	
medical information.	intervention.				
• Court order required for					
access in certain	As Required by Law				
circumstances.	§164.512(a)				
	CEs may use or disclose				
§§193.145, 193.155,	PHI without giving the				
193.165, 193.175	individual the opportunity				
• Filing of death certificate	to agree or object and				
required, containing	without an authorization if				
certain medical	it is required by law and is				
information.	limited to the				
• Disposition of dead body	requirements of such law.				

⁵⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 and notification of death. Amendment of vital records. Inspection and copies of records – disclosure of information. §193.225, 193.275 Records may be maintained electronically. Information to be maintained for at least 5 years by the individual or entity filing the report or certification. 	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
	Death-Dis	position	of Dead Bodies	S	
Autopsies	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may disclose	
§194.115	§164.512(a)			reports of autopsies	
 Allows certain individuals to consent to an autopsy on a deceased individual. Requires reports of such autopsies to be disclosed, upon request, to the personal representative or administrator of the deceased's estate, the 	CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			to the individuals listed in this Missouri statute without violating HIPAA because such disclosures are required by law.	

⁵⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
surviving spouse, any surviving child, parent, brother or sister of the deceased. Reporting of Suspected	Preemption Exception	No	State	• CEo mov roport	
<u> </u>		NO	State	CEs may report deaths to the county	
Cases of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) §194.117 19 CSR 40-3.010 • Any person who first discovers or acquires knowledge of the death of an infant between 1 week and 1 year old must report it to the county coroner or medical examiner when the child died suddenly when in apparent good health	 §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. 			deaths to the county coroner or medical examiner under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity. • Because the coroner	
 apparent good health. Coroner or medical examiner shall notify DHSS of the results of the autopsy. Pathologist who performs the autopsy shall, upon request by the parents or guardian, release autopsy results to the parents, guardian or family 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			Because the coroner and medical examiner are not CEs, HIPAA does not regulate their release of PHI. State law should be followed.	

⁶⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
physician in cases of					
suspected SIDS.	Public Health Activities				
	§164.512(b)(1)(i)				
	CEs may disclose PHI to a				
	public health authority				
	authorized to receive such information for the				
	purpose of preventing or				
	controlling disease, injury				
	or disability.				
	or around rivey.				
	Covered Entity				
	§160.103				
	A covered entity includes				
	health care providers that				
	transmit health				
	information in electronic form in connection with a				
	transaction covered by				
	HIPAA.				
	1111 / 1/1.				
Bodies of Paupers:	Individually Identifiable	No	State	The body of a	
Disposal and Distribution	Health Information			deceased person	
§§194.150 and 194.160	§160.103			may be considered	
Hospitals and other	Individually identifiable			PHI to the extent the	
entities having custody of	health information			identity of the corpse	
the body of any deceased	includes health			is identifiable by its	
person required to be	information relating to			appearance.	
buried at public expense	past present or future			• CEs may provide the	
are required to notify the	physical or mental health			notification and	

⁶¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Missouri State Anatomical Board and deliver the body to the board, which is then authorized to deliver the body to educational institutions to use for the study of human anatomy. Bodies delivered to educational institutions must have name and cause of death in the record being sent with body.	or condition of an individual. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			delivery of a body to the Missouri State Anatomical Board under state law without violating HIPAA because it is required by state law.	
Uniform Anatomical Gift Act §§194.220, 194.260, 194.263, 194.265, 194.295 §194.220 • Establishes an organ and tissue donor registry. §194.260 • Requires a record search to determine if individual who is dead or near death wishes to be an organ donor.	As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. TPO \$164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment,	No	State	 Review of records under the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act under Missouri law is permissible under HIPAA to the extent that it is required by law. In addition, the permitted access to records for purposes of ensuring the medical suitability of a donation is permissible under 	• To the extent the Uniform Anatomical Gift Act does not limit or supersede §7001 of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (15 USC §7001 et seq.), which generally treats electronic signatures, contracts or other records as valid, it should

⁶²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
9104262	. 11 11	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
§194.263	payment and health care			HIPAA because it is	facilitate electronic
Requires access to	operations.			for treatment	exchange of health
documents evidencing an				purposes.	information.
anatomical gift or refusal					
by a deceased individual.					
§194.265					
• Permits examination of					
medical records of donor					
or prospective donor by					
hospital personnel and					
the person receiving the					
donation to ensure the					
medical suitability of the					
donation.					
§194.294					
• The Uniform Anatomical					
Gift Act supersedes and					
limits the Electronic					
Signatures in Global and					
National Commerce Act					
(15 USC §7001 et seq.)					
except for §7001 of that					
act (which generally					
treats electronic					
signatures, contracts or					
other records as valid).					
However, the Uniform					
Anatomical Gift Act does					

⁶³

not authorize electronic delivery of the notices described in 15 USC	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
§7003(b).					
	Dı	rug Regu	lations		
Warrants for Administrative Inspections §195.375 • A judge may issue warrants for administrative inspections pursuant to the Comprehensive Drug Control Act of 1989.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to law enforcement officials executing a warrant issued under this section of Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is a permissible health oversight activity and has a valid law enforcement purpose.	
 Prescriptions, Orders, Records and Stocks of Controlled Substances §195.415 Federal, state, county and municipal officers may inspect prescriptions, orders, records and 	 As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is 	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI related to controlled substances under this Missouri statute without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is	

⁶⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissoull Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
stocks of controlled substances for law enforcement purposes. • Officers may not further disclose such information unless pursuant to a prosecution or proceeding in court or before a licensing or registration board.	limited to the requirements of such law. Covered Entity §160.103 • A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.			required by state law. Because law enforcement officers are not CEs under HIPAA, their disclosure is not governed by HIPAA. However, it is limited by this section of state law.	
	Medical Tro	eatment	Facility Licens	ses	
Licensure: Hospitals, Ambulatory Surgical Centers, Hospices and Home Health Agencies §§197.100, 197.230, 197.258, 197.425 19 CSR 30-20.015(5) & (6) (hospitals) 19 CSR 30-30.020(1)(A)(5) (ASCs) 19 CSR 30-35.030(2) (hospices) 19 CSR 30-26.010(2)(E) (home health agencies) • DHSS has authority to investigate and survey hospitals, ASCs hospices	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS during surveys and investigations under these sections without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

⁶⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
and home health agencies. The authority of DHSS specifically includes access to all information related to patient care. Whistleblower Provisions	Health Oversight Activities	flict?	or HIPAA? State	• CEs, including their	Electronic HIE
 §197.285 If certain requirements are met, employees of hospitals and ambulatory surgical centers and their compliance or management officials may disclose to DHSS and other appropriate governmental authorities information relevant to reports of facility mismanagement, violations of law and the ability of employees to successfully perform their assigned duties. 	 §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. 			workforces, may disclose PHI to DHSS and other appropriate governmental authorities pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is a permissible health oversight activity.	
	Convalescent, N	Jursing a	nd Boarding I	Homes	
Licensure: Long-Term Care Facilities §§198.022, 198.526	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS as part of an inspection	

⁶⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
19 CSR 30-85.012 et seq.	health oversight agencies			under state law	
19 CSR 30-86.012 et seq.	for oversight activities			without violating	
DHSS is authorized to	authorized by law,			HIPAA because it is	
inspect all records of a	including audits,			a permissible health	
residential care facility I,	investigations,			oversight activity.	
a residential care facility	inspections, licensure etc.				
II, an intermediate care					
facility and a skilled					
nursing facility.					
• §198.526 Division of					
Aging previously had					
authority to inspect all					
facilities licensed by such					
division. (The Division					
of Aging was transferred					
from DSS to DHSS).					
Long-Term Care Records:	Covered Entity	No	State	DHSS is a CE to the	DHSS must
Release by DHSS	§160.103			extent it is a health	electronically record
§198.032	A covered entity includes			care provider but it	and maintain a
 Places limitations on the 	health care providers that			is a hybrid entity	hotline caller log for
release of confidential	transmit health			because it also has	reporting of
medical, social, personal	information in electronic			non-covered	suspected abuse or
or financial records of	form in connection with a			functions.	neglect in long-term
long-term care residents	transaction covered by			Because the state	care facilities.
by DHSS.	HIPAA.			law limitations on	
DHSS must				disclosure of PHI by	
electronically record and	Hybrid Entity			DHSS relate to its	
maintain a hotline caller	§164.504(a)			function as a public	

⁶⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
TVIISSOUTI STUTE	Time invacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
log for reporting of suspected abuse or neglect in long-term care facilities.	 A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity. 			health authority and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. Thus, disclosure by DHSS is governed by state law.	
 Long-Term Care Facilities: Audits §198.052 Authorizes the State Auditor to examine and audit facility records relating to the operation of a residential care facility I, a residential care facility II, an intermediate care facility or a skilled nursing facility. Places certain limitations of further disclosure by the auditor. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health	No	State	 CEs may disclose PHI to the State Auditor pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law. Subsequent disclosure of PHI by the State Auditor is not governed by HIPAA because the auditor is not a CE. Disclosure by the auditor is limited by 	

⁶⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Abuse or Neglect of Long-Term Care Residents §198.070 • Certain individuals and entities are required to report to DHSS when they have reasonable cause to believe that a resident of a residential care facility I, a residential care facility I, an intermediate care facility or a skilled nursing facility has been abused or neglected.	information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Victims of Abuse, Neglect or Domestic Violence §164.512(c) CE may disclose PHI to an agency authorized to receive information about an individual believed to be a victim of abuse, neglect or domestic violence to the extent such disclosure is required by law and complies with the requirements of that law. If the CE discloses PHI pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform	Yes	State Law or HIPAA? HIPAA	CEs may report abuse to DHSS under state law without violating HIPAA because such reporting is required by law. However, to the extent HIPAA requires notice to the individual, it is more stringent and must be followed.	Implications for Electronic HIE
	the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety of the individual is at risk. As Required by Law				
	§164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) • CEs may use or disclose				

⁶⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f).				
 Licensure: Alzheimer's Category §198.086 Creates a pilot project for the development of a licensing category for treatment of Alzheimer's patients. Pilot projects are monitored by DHSS, which has access to patient information. 	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS pursuant to its licensure activities without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Patient Rights: Long-Term Care Residents §198.088 • Rights of long-term care residents include, among	§§164.506, 164.508, 164.510 and 164.512 • Various uses and disclosures are authorized in these sections of	*	*	CEs may release the PHI of residents only if both state law and HIPAA would otherwise authorize	

⁷⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	Till I II we j Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
other things, the right to confidential treatment, which prohibits the release of information about the resident's treatment without written consent of the resident unless the person is otherwise authorized by law to receive it.	HIPAA, provided certain requirements are met.	THE C.		such disclosure. Note that under state law, the consent of the resident is required unless the person is otherwise authorized by law to receive the information. *The circumstances of permitted disclosures of such PHI under Missouri law are covered elsewhere in this analysis.	Electronic IIIE
Fraud Investigation Division §§198.161 and 198.180 ■ Allows the director of the Fraud Investigation Division of the Department of Social Services to investigate suspected fraud violations and audit and inspect records of long-term care providers.	As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Health Oversight Activities \$164.512(d)	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI pursuant to a fraud investigation under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by state law and is a permissible health oversight activity.	

⁷¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.				
Licensure: Disclosure of Long-Term Care Investigation Results §198.532 • Results of investigations of long-term care facilities under Chapter 198, RSMo may be disclosed by DHSS with certain limitations. This section allows for reports to be provided by DHSS and the long-term care facility to any of the facility's residents or their family members or guardians upon request and to the public, provided that "personal information identifying the resident" is "blanked out."	Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards. De-identification of PHI §164.514 Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI.	Yes	HIPAA	 CEs may not disclose PHI that is included in an investigation report to residents, family members, guardians or the public under this provision of state law because HIPAA is more stringent in that HIPAA would require an authorization or deidentification of the PHI. Though individuals are generally entitled to the information in their designated record set, reports of 	

⁷²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				not part of those record sets and thus an authorization is required for disclosure of such investigation records to the individual or their personal representative. The "blanking out" of "personal information identifying the resident" must comply with the HIPAA deidentification requirements in order to allow disclosure without a HIPAA compliant authorization.	
	Rehabilitation Center	-Head In	njury-Tubercu	losis Testing	
Rehabilitation Facilities: Confidentiality of Records §199.033					
General Confidentiality • Makes records of	Uses and Disclosures: General Rules	No	State	Records of rehabilitation	

⁷³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
rehabilitation facilities confidential.	\$164.502CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.			facilities are confidential under both state law and HIPAA.	
Required Disclosures Requires disclosure of such patient information and records upon request by certain individuals. Permitted Disclosures Permits disclosure of patient information and records under the any of the following circumstances:	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f)	No	State	CEs may disclose patient information and records of rehabilitation facilities to the individuals listed in §199.033.2, RSMo without violating HIPAA because it is required by state law.	
As authorized by the patient.	Authorizations §164.508 • Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization.	Yes	HIPAA	Because HIPAA is more stringent than state law with respect to the contents of an authorization, it preempts state law.	

⁷⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards.			• Thus, CEs may not disclose information and records of patients at rehabilitation facilities as permitted under state law unless the authorization is HIPAA compliant or a HIPAA exception applies.	
 To those responsible for providing health care. As necessary to make a claim for payment. To qualified personnel for the purpose of conducting research, management audits, financial audits, program evaluations or similar studies. 	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c)(2) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Because disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI to those responsible for 	

⁷⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Research			providing treatment,	
	§164.512(i)			to make a claim for	
	• CEs may use and disclose			payment or for	
	PHI for research purposes			operational purposes	
	if meet certain			such as audits and	
	requirements.			evaluations only if	
	1			they comply with the	
	1			more stringent	
	1			requirements for the	
	1			HIPAA NPP	
	1			acknowledgment.	
	1			• If the disclosure is	
	1			for research	
	1			purposes, CEs must	
	1			comply with HIPAA's more	
	1				
	1			stringent disclosure	
				requirements.	
5. To the courts as	Judicial and Administrative	Yes	HIPAA	• Though this portion	
necessary for the	Proceedings	105	11111111	of §199.033, RSMo	
administration of	§164.512(e)(1)(i)			does not have any	
§§199.001 to	• CEs may disclose PHI in			requirements for	
199.055, RSMo	the course of any judicial			disclosure to the	
(injury prevention,	or administrative			courts for the	
head injury	proceeding in response to			delineated purpose,	
rehabilitation and	an order by the court or			HIPAA has more	
local health	administrative tribunal or,			stringent	
services).	if certain circumstances			requirements that	
	are met, in response to a			must be met if the	

⁷⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
6. To law enforcement officers or public health officers to the extent necessary for them to carry out their duties.	subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process. Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) CEs may disclose PHI for certain law enforcement purposes if they meet applicable requirements.	Yes	HIPAA?	request for records comes in any form other than a court or administrative order. Thus, CEs must follow HIPAA before disclosing records to the courts as permitted under this Missouri statute. Law Enforcement Officers Though HIPAA limits access by law enforcement as it relates to some of their duties, this provision of Missouri law would allow access as it relates to any of their duties. Thus, HIPAA is more stringent and must	Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i)	No	State	be followed. Public Health Officers Access by public	
	• CEs may disclose PHI to a	1		health officers under	

⁷⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsouri Statute	initial invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	THEC.		Missouri law could contemplate both public health activities and health oversight activities under HIPAA. The laws do not appear to conflict so CEs may disclose PHI pursuant to this portion of §199.033, RSMo without violating HIPAA.	Electronic TITE
7. Pursuant to an order of a court or administrative agency of competent jurisdiction.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful	Yes	State	• Though HIPAA would allow disclosure to courts and administrative agencies without a court order if certain conditions are met, Missouri law requires a court order in this portion of §199.033, RSMo. Thus, Missouri law is more stringent and	

⁷⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	process.			CEs may only disclose patient records of rehabilitation facilities under this section with a court order.	
8. To DHSS as necessary to report or investigate abuse, neglect or violations of patients' rights.	Victims of Abuse, Neglect or Domestic Violence §164.512(c) CE may disclose PHI to an agency authorized to receive information about an individual believed to be a victim of abuse, neglect or domestic violence to the extent such disclosure is required by law and complies with the requirements of that law. If the CE discloses PHI pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety of the individual is at risk.	Yes	HIPAA	To the extent HIPAA requires appropriate notice to the individual for reports of abuse and neglect other than child abuse or neglect, HIPAA is more stringent than state law, which does not require any notice to the abused or neglected individual. CEs may report abuse under this section only if they provide the appropriate notice to the abused/neglected individual under HIPAA.	

⁷⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	•	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Commitment and Hospitalization of Tuberculosis (TB) Patients §§199.170; 199.180, 199.200; 199.240; 199.270 19 CSR 20-20.100					
 *Iocal board" is defined as any legally constituted local city or county board of health or health center board of trustees or the director of health of the city of Kansas City or the commissioner of health of the city of St. Louis, or in the absence of such a board, the county commission or the county board of tuberculosis hospital commissioners of any county. *Ing. 180 The "local board" may file a petition for the commitment of certain patients with TB. 	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c)(2) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic 	Yes	HIPAA	 If the local board is considered to be a CE, its disclosure of PHI for treatment purposes, such as disclosure to the court as part of commitment proceedings, is governed by HIPAA. Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state 	

⁸⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 §199.200 Examination records admissible in court. §199.240 Cannot require TB patients to submit to treatment without the patient's consent. §199.270 Procedure for release of committed TB patient. 19 CSR 20-20.100 Requires suspected or confirmed cases of TB to be reported to DHSS or a local health authority. 	Public Health Activities \$164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity	No	State	law. Thus, if the local board is considered a CE that is a direct treatment provider, it may disclose PHI by filing a petition with the court under this provision of state law only if it complies with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. CEs may report cases of TB to public health authorities pursuant to Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible public health activity.	

⁸¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	to agree or object and				
	without an authorization if				
	it is required by law and is				
	limited to the				
	requirements of such law.				
	County Heal	th and V	<u> </u> Velfare Progra	ams	
DMH: Information	As Required by Law	No	State	The collection of	
Collection from	§164.512(a)			information by	
Community Mental Health	CEs may use or disclose			DMH could involve	
Centers	PHI without giving the			PHI that does not	
§205.988	individual the opportunity			"identify" an	
• Lists certain duties of	to agree or object and			individual under	
DMH with respect to	without an authorization if			Missouri law but	
community mental health	it is required by law and is			may be different	
centers.	limited to the			from "de-identified"	
• One such duty is to	requirements of such law.			information under	
develop and collect				HIPAA.	
information needed to	Health Oversight Activities			 CEs may disclose 	
perform its duties in a	§164.512(d)			PHI to DMH as part	
manner that does not	CEs may disclose PHI to			of this collection	
identify any individual	health oversight agencies			process without	
who received services	for oversight activities			violating HIPAA	
from a community	authorized by law,			because such	
mental health center as	including audits,			disclosure is	
defined in §205.975,	investigations,			required by state law	
RSMo.	inspections, licensure etc.			and is a permissible	
	D. 11. 4'6' . 4'			health oversight	
	De-identification of PHI			activity.	
	§164.514				

⁸²

Missouri Statute	Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI.	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	Old Age Assistance, Aid to	Depende	ent Children a	nd General Relief	
Medicaid Program §§208.155, 208.164, 208.175, 208.176, 208.201, 208.204, 208.215 and 208.217 §208.155 • Records of applicants and recipients are confidential. §208.164 • Confidential reporting of fraud and abuse. • Oversight/review of claims records • Investigation and sanctions for fraud or abuse. §208.175 • Drug Utilization Review	Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity §160.103 A hybrid entity is a CE whose business activities include both covered and non-covered functions. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	No	State	 Mo HealthNet is a CE to the extent it is a covered health plan but it is a hybrid entity because it has non- covered functions such as health care oversight. To the extent Mo HealthNet uses and discloses PHI for TPO in its capacity as a health plan, it may do so in compliance with state law without violating HIPAA because the NPP acknowledgment requirements only apply to direct 	
Board for oversight of drug use and prescribing				treatment providers.To the extent Mo	

⁸³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
TIAISOURI Statute	The state of the s	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
practices under the Medicaid program. §208.176 • Prospective review of drug therapy • For children in legal custody of Dept of Social Services, the Dept must provide for medical treatment and disclose relevant information about such treatment to the appropriate judge. §208.201 • Mo HealthNet established to replace Division of Medical Services (DMS) §280.215 • Recoupment of funds paid by Medicaid program that are later reimbursed by another individual/entity.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c)(2) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.	inct?	or HIPAA?	HealthNet uses and discloses PHI for one of its non-covered functions, HIPAA does not apply and state law should be followed. CEs may use or disclose PHI to DMS for health care oversight purposes without violating HIPAA.	Electronic HIE
Dept of Social Services					

⁸⁴

may obtain insurance information on patients who receive benefits under state Medicaid	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
program.	id to the Plind Dights of Dayson	g with V	igual Haaring	or Dhysical Disabilities	
Interpreters for Deaf and Speech Impaired Persons §§209.263, 209.265 and 209.339 §§209.263 and 209.265 Information provided to a person who interprets, translates or relays a conversation between a person who can hear and deaf person is confidential and may not be disclosed without the consent or written permission of the deaf person or a court order. Information that would be privileged even if an auxiliary aid and service provider or relay agent is used.	Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards.	Yes	HIPAA	or Physical Disabilities Consent/Authorization To the extent Missouri law permits disclosure of PHI with the "consent" or "written permission" of the patient, it is preempted by HIPAA, which has more stringent requirements concerning the content of an authorization. Thus, CEs may not disclose the contents of an interpreted conversation under state law unless a HIPAA compliant authorization is obtained.	

⁸⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilssoull Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
\$209.339 • The contents of the interpreted conversation may not be withheld when requested as part of procedures for disciplining a licensee or in determining whether an individual has practiced interpreting without a license.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	No	State	Court Order To the extent Missouri law would permit disclosure pursuant to a court order, CEs may do so without violating HIPAA.	Electronic HIE
	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	Licensing/Discipline CEs may disclose the contents of an interpreted conversation as part of the licensure/ disciplinary process under state law without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.	
		ection aı	nd Reformation		
Reporting of Blood Tests of Pregnant Women	Preemption Exception §160.203(c)	No	State	CEs may report information about	

⁸⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
§§210.040 and 210.050	Generally, HIPAA			blood tests on	
	preempts contrary state			pregnant women as	
• 210.040 Positive results	laws.			required under state	
for syphilis or hepatitis B	One exception to that rule			law without	
must be reported to the	is when the state law			violating HIPAA	
county or municipal	provides for the reporting			because such reports	
department of health	of disease or injury, child			are exempted from	
where the pregnant	abuse, birth or death, or			preemption and their	
woman resides.	for the conduct of public			disclosure is	
	health surveillance,			required by law and	
• §210.050 When	investigation or			is a permissible	
reporting births and	intervention.			public health	
stillbirths as required by				activity.	
law, physicians and	As Required by Law				
others must also report	§164.512(a)				
whether a blood test for	CEs may use or disclose				
syphilis was performed,	PHI without giving the				
including the date and	individual the opportunity				
location of the test, or, if	to agree or object and				
no test, the reason for not	without an authorization if				
conducting the test. They	it is required by law and is				
must also report whether	limited to the				
a blood test for hepatitis	requirements of such law.				
B was performed.					
	Public Health Activities				
	§164.512(b)(1)(i)				
	CEs may disclose PHI to a				
	public health authority				
	authorized to receive such				
	information for the				

⁸⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Reporting of Infant Eye Inflammation §§210.070 and 210.080 • Requires certain physicians, midwives and nurses to deliver prophylactic drops in the eyes of newborns and then report compliance to the board of health or county physician of the city, town or county where the birth occurs. • Requires reporting of certain cases of infant eye inflammation within first two weeks after birth.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	CEs may report infant eye inflammation as required under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.				
Reporting and Investigation of Child Abuse §§210.109; 210.111; 210.115; 210.120; 210.125; 210.130; 210.140; 210.145; 210.150; 210.152; 210.160; 210.167; 210.183 • Covers persons and officials who must report or cause a report to be made to DHSS if they have reasonable cause to suspect that a child has been or may be subjected to abuse or neglect or observes a child being subjected to circumstances that would reasonably result in	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity	No	State	CEs may report child abuse or neglect as required under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and/or is a permissible public health activity.	

⁸⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
abuse or neglect. • All other persons not listed in 210.115 may report suspected child abuse or neglect	to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(ii) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive reports of child abuse or neglect.	nec			
Death of Children: Child Fatality Review Panel §§210.192 and 210.196 The Child Fatality Review Panel reviews deaths of certain children under the age of eighteen years. The panel issues a final report of each investigation, which is a public record. DHSS reviews the reports and periodically prepares epidemiological reports describing the	Preemption Exception §160.203 Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention.	No	State	 CEs may report deaths to be reviewed by the Child Fatality Review Panel under state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity. Though members of 	

⁹⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
incidence, causes, location and other factors pertaining to childhood deaths. • Hospitals, physicians, medical professionals, mental health professionals or DMH facilities are required to disclose upon request all records of children whose deaths are eligible to be reviewed by the panel.	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities §164.512(b) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.	inct?	or HIPAA?	the panel may be CEs, the panel itself is not. It has no covered functions. Thus, disclosure by the panel is not covered by HIPAA. Disclosure by DHSS in the form of epidemiological reports could involve PHI that is not de-identified because it has the location of the death. Though DHSS may be considered a hybrid entity, the release of epidemiological reports is not a covered function and thus would not be governed by HIPAA.	Electronic HIE

⁹¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	· ·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) • A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components.				
	Health Care Component §164.504(b) • HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.				
	 De-identification of PHI §164.514 Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI. 				
Permanency Hearings §210.720 • Court shall consider the mental and physical health of all individuals involved including any history of abuse.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to	Yes	HIPAA	CEs may not disclose PHI at a permanency hearing unless for disclosure as part of judicial and administrative proceedings.	

⁹²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.			Need court order, administrative order or, in certain circumstances, subpoena, discovery request or other lawful request.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f).				
Paternity Hearings §210.832 • The testimony of a physician at a paternity hearing about the medical circumstances of	Uses and Disclosures: General Rules §164.502 • CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.	Yes	HIPAA	Though the information disclosed under this provision of Missouri law is not privileged under	
•	disclose PHI except as permitted under HIPAA.			Missouri law is not privileged under state law, HIPAA is	

⁹³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
condition of the child at birth is not privileged.	Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards. Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.			more stringent in that it prohibits disclosure unless pursuant to a valid authorization or an appropriate exception. Thus, CEs may not disclose PHI at a paternity hearing unless they follow the HIPAA requirements for an authorization or the requirements for disclosure as part of judicial and administrative proceedings. Need valid HIPAA authorization, court order, administrative order, or in certain circumstances, a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful request.	
Paternity Cases: Required Blood Tests	Judicial and Administrative	No	State	CEs may disclose the results of blood	
Blood Tests	Proceedings			the results of blood	

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	III AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 \$210.834 The court may and upon request of any party require another party to the action and any male witness to submit to blood tests and have results disclosed in a paternity case. 	 §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process. As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f). 	inct:		tests in a paternity case based on an order of the court under §210.834, RSMo without violating HIPAA because both state law and HIPAA permit disclosure in response to a court order.	Electronic IIIE
		uvenile (Courts		
Juveniles and 17-year-olds:	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may disclose	

⁹⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Court-Ordered Physical or	§164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2)			their evaluation of a	
Mental Examinations	 CEs may use or disclose 			child or 17-year-old	
§§211.161, 211.202 and	PHI without giving the			to the court under	
211.203	individual the opportunity			these Missouri	
§211.161	to agree or object and			statutes without	
A court may order a child	without an authorization if			violating HIPAA	
or 17-year-old within the	it is required by law and is			because such	
juvenile court's	limited to the			disclosure is	
jurisdiction to be	requirements of such law.			required by law and	
examined by a physician,	 CEs must meet additional 			will ordinarily be in	
psychiatrist or	requirements for			response to an order	
psychologist appointed	disclosures for 164.512			of the court.	
by the court in order to	(c), (e), and (f)				
determine the condition					
of the individual as it	Judicial and Administrative				
may be relevant to the	Proceedings				
disposition of such	§164.512(e)(1)(i)				
individual's case.	 CEs may disclose PHI in 				
§211.202	the course of any judicial				
A court may order a child	or administrative				
or 17-year-old within the	proceeding in response to				
juvenile court's	an order by the court or				
jurisdiction to be	administrative tribunal or,				
examined by a physician,	if certain circumstances				
psychiatrist or	are met, in response to a				
psychologist appointed	subpoena, discovery				
by the court or by the	request or other lawful				
department of mental	process.				
health if the child appears					
to be mentally disordered					

⁹⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
(other than mentally retarded or developmentally disabled). • Reports of such evaluations must be submitted to the court under certain circumstances. §211.203 • A court may order a child or 17-year-old within the juvenile court's jurisdiction to be examined by a physician, psychiatrist or psychologist appointed by the court or by the department of mental health if the child appears to be mentally retarded or developmentally disabled.		inet:	OF HIPAA:	Conclusion	Electronic HTE
Juveniles: Referral to DMH §211.207 • The Division of Youth Services within the DSS may refer a child	 Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic 	Yes	HIPAA	DSS is a health care provider to the extent it is responsible for providing health care to youth in the	

⁹⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
committed to its custody	form in connection with a			foster care program.	
to DMH for evaluation	transaction covered by			It is a health plan	
and a determination of	HIPAA.			because of DMS.	
whether the child needs				• As a CE, DSS must	
treatment for a mental	Hybrid Entity			comply with	
disorder.	§164.504(a)			HIPAA.	
	• A hybrid entity is a type			• It is a hybrid CE	
	of covered entity that has			because it has	
	covered and non-covered			covered and non-	
	functions. Such entities			covered functions.	
	have the obligation to			When the Division	
	designate their health care			of Youth Services of	
	components.			DSS refers a child to	
				DMH under this	
	Health Care Component			provision of state	
	§164.504(b)			law, it is for	
	HIPAA only applies to the			treatment purposes.	
	health care component of			Because the	
	a hybrid entity.			disclosure of PHI by	
				CEs that are direct	
	TPO			treatment providers	
	§164.506			for TPO under	
	• CEs may use and disclose			HIPAA requires	
	PHI for treatment,			compliance with the	
	payment and health care			requirements for the	
	operations.			HIPAA NPP	
				acknowledgment,	
	Notice of Privacy Practices			HIPAA is more	
	§164.520(c)			stringent than state	
	CEs that are direct			law.	

⁹⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	lining negativities	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
DMH shall notify the Division whether such treatment is necessary.	treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	 Thus, to the extent DSS is a direct treatment provider, it may disclose PHI for treatment purposes only if it complies with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. DMH, as a covered entity, may provide PHI to the Division of Youth Services under Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is required by law. 	Executionic TITE
Parental Rights:	Uses and Disclosures:	Yes	HIPAA	Though admission	
Termination	General Rules			of PHI at a	
§211.459	§164.502			proceeding for	
 Physician-patient 	• CEs may not use or			termination of	
privilege cannot prohibit	disclose PHI except as			parental rights is	
the <i>admission</i> of	permitted under HIPAA.			permissible under	
evidence at a proceeding				state law, HIPAA is	

⁹⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

N/1	IIIDA A Deires en De ende diese	C	C4-4- T	Discussion and	T 1: 4: F
Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law		Implications for
for the termination of parental rights.	Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. The content of such authorization must comply with HIPAA standards. Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	flict?	or HIPAA?	more stringent and would require an authorization or appropriate judicial or administrative request. CEs may not disclose PHI at a termination proceeding unless they follow the HIPAA requirements for an authorization or the requirements for disclosure as part of judicial and administrative proceedings.	Electronic HIE
	CORRECTIONAL	ANDD	ENIAT INICIPIA	PUTIONS	
			Corrections	IUIIUNS	
DOC: Duties	Covered Entity	Ment of No	State	DOC is a health care	
§217.015	\$160.103	NO	State	provider to the	

¹⁰⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
DOC is responsible for ensuring that female offenders are provided medical and mental health care.	 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity. 	THEC.		extent it provides health care to its inmates. Such care is ordinarily provided by contract with various health care providers. Because in all likelihood, DOC, either directly or by contract, engages in covered transactions with respect to certain inmates, this analysis assumes DOC is a CE. Because DOC also has non-covered functions, it is a hybrid entity, which means that HIPAA applies to its covered functions.	Executionic FIFE
DOC: Audits of Records §217.070 • The State Auditor shall have access to all records maintained by DOC.	As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity	No	State	As a CE, DOC may disclose PHI to the State Auditor pursuant to state law without violating	

¹⁰¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissouri Statute	Till Mil Tilvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Confidential records must be disclosed in a manner that does not reveal "personally identifiable information."	to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	THEC.		HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law but disclosure is limited by the requirements of that law. Thus, the PHI must be disclosed by DOC without "personally identifiable information" as that term is interpreted under state law.	Electronic IIIE
DOC: Offender Medical	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may disclose	
Records	§164.512(a)			PHI to DOC under	
§217.075	CEs may use or disclose			this provision of	
 Medical records of offenders in the custody of DOC are closed records. Health care providers and hospitals that care for offenders are required to provide copies of medical records upon demand by DOC's health care administrator. Such providers are not 	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law.	

¹⁰²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
liable for a breach of confidentiality under state law.					
DOC: Medical Excuse from Participation of Inmates in Required Activities §217.245 • The medical personnel of a correctional institution shall certify an inmate's reason for not being able to participate in required activities.	 Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity. 	No	State	DOC may disclose PHI as part of a medical excuse under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure relates to the administration of the correctional system and not to its function as a health care provider.	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
DOC: Inmates with Terminal Illness or Advanced Age §217.250 • When an inmate has a terminal illness or is of such age that continued confinement would be detrimental, the department of corrections may recommend parole to the board of probation and parole or a commutation of sentence to the governor.	Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	No	State	DOC may disclose PHI as it relates to recommendations for parole or commutation of an inmate's sentence under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure relates to the administration of the correctional system and not to its function as a health care provider.	Electronic FFE
DOC: Access to Inmate Records by Board of Probation and Parole §217.270 • The Board of Probation and Parole shall have access to inmate records deemed pertinent by the board in determining whether an inmate should be paroled.	 Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the 	No	State	DOC may disclose PHI to the Board of Probation and Parole under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure relates to the administration of the correctional system and not to its function as a health care provider.	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	health care component of a hybrid entity. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.				
 DOC: Classification of Inmates §217.335 Information from the department of corrections' reception and diagnostic center is provided to a classification team for determining appropriate custodial care and treatment. 	TPO §164.506 • CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) • CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.	Yes	HIPAA	 Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. As a direct treatment provider, DOC may disclose PHI under 	

¹⁰⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				state law for diagnostic and treatment purposes only if it complies with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
DOC: Contracts with Residential Treatment Centers §217.430 • DOC may contract with private or public organizations to establish residential treatment facilities and other community-based programs where individuals in the custody of the DOC may be housed and supervised outside of regularly established correctional centers.	Business Associate §160.103 The definition of business associate includes entities that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information.	Yes	HIPAA	 Since DOC is a CE, delegation of its covered function as a health care provider must follow applicable HIPAA business associate requirements. Though state law would not require compliance with BA requirements, HIPAA is more stringent and must be followed. 	
DOC: Interstate	Business Associate	Yes	HIPAA	• Since DOC is a CE,	• None
Corrections Compact	§160.103			delegation of its	

¹⁰⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
 \$217.535 DOC may contract with other states to provide custodial care on behalf of Missouri (which may include the provision of medical services). The statute also provides for access to records of such custodial care. 	The definition of business associate includes entities that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information.	THEE.		function as a correctional institution, which includes its covered function as a health care provider, must follow applicable HIPAA BA requirements. Though state law would not require compliance with BA requirements, HIPAA is more stringent and must be followed.	Executonic THE
 DOC: Postconviction Drug Treatment Program §217.785 DOC shall establish a postconviction drug treatment program and shall submit reports to the applicable court outlining the performance of the inmates in the program. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	DOC may provide PHI to the court without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.	

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
		ails and .			
Jails: Medical Treatment	Covered Entity	No	State	• The county jail is a	
for Prisoners	§160.103			health care provider	
§221.120	A covered entity includes			to the extent it is	
 Jailer of a county jail 	health care providers that			required to provide	
must procure necessary	transmit health			health care to its	
or proper medical	information in electronic			prisoners.	
treatment for prisoners.	form in connection with a			• If the jail engages in	
• In some cases the county	transaction covered by			covered transactions,	
commission may	HIPAA.			it would be a hybrid	
authorize payment for				CE under HIPAA	
such care or employ a	Hybrid Entity			because it would	
physician to provide such	§164.504(a)			have covered and	
care.	 A hybrid entity is a type 			non-covered	
	of covered entity that has			functions.	
	covered and non-covered			• Though the county	
	functions. Such entities			commission may	
	have the obligation to			pay for some of the	
	designate their health care			health care, it would	
	components.			not be considered a	
				CE.	
	Health Care Component				
	§164.504(b)				
	HIPAA only applies to the				
	health care component of				
	a hybrid entity.				
Jails: Contagious Disease	As Required by Law	No	State	To the extent	
of County Prisoners	§164.512(a)			physicians are	
§221.130	CEs may use or disclose			required to report to	

¹⁰⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Missoull Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
When a physician employed by the county commission determines that a prisoner has a contagious disease, the physician shall notify the commission, which may then order the sheriff or marshal to place the prisoner in another location until infectious risk is gone.	PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	met:	or mraa:	the commission, they may do so without violating HIPAA because it is required by state law. To the extent the commission is permitted to disclose PHI to the sheriff or marshal for the purpose of relocating the infectious prisoner, it is not a CE and may do so without violating HIPAA.	Electronic HTE
Jails: Use of Jails in Other Counties §221.230 • A county jail that is insufficient to commit a county prisoner in its custody may send the prisoner to the nearest jail of another county. • The other county is then responsible for safekeeping the prisoner.	Business Associate §160.103 Definition of business associate includes entities that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information.	No	State	If the county jail is a CE, it may delegate its function as a jail, including its covered function as a health care provider, without violating HIPAA as long as it follows the more stringent HIPAA requirements regarding BAs.	

¹⁰⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations LABOR AND 1	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
			ensation Law	IONS	
Workers' Compensation §§287.140; 287.210; 287.240; 287.350; 287.380; 287.420; 287.460; 287.560; 287.894; 287.937 8 CSR 20-3.010, et seq. 8 CSR 50-5.010, et seq. • Provide for use and disclosure of medical information for workers' compensation purposes.	Workers' Compensation §164.512(I) CE may disclose PHI in accordance with workers' compensation laws. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI in connection with a workers' compensation claim under Chapter 287, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is permitted under HIPAA.	
	Health ar	nd Safety	of Employees		
Reporting of Occupational Diseases §\$292.340 and 292.350 §292.340 • Any physician who performs an examination of an employee pursuant to \$292.330, RSMo shall	 Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting 	No	State	Physicians may report occupational diseases to DHSS without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is	

¹¹⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for		
112255 0 422 1 5 444 445		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE		
diseases to DHSS. §292.350 • DHSS then is required to report back to the employer.	abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities \$164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.			required by law and is a permissible public health activity. • DHSS may report back to the employer without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.			
	MOTOR VEHICLES,	WATE	RCRAFT AND	AVIATION			
Drivers' and Commercial Drivers' Licenses							
Chemical Tests: Implied Consent of Commercial	As Required by Law §164.512(a)	No	State	Though the authorization of the			

¹¹¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Drivers	CEs may use or disclose			law enforcement	
§302.745	PHI without giving the			officer to request the	
 Any person who drives a 	individual the opportunity			results of the tests or	
commercial motor	to agree or object and			the blood specimen	
vehicle within the state is	without an authorization if			itself is implied in	
deemed to have	it is required by law and is			the law, it is	
consented to chemical	limited to the			nevertheless a	
tests of breath, blood,	requirements of such law.			requirement of the	
saliva or urine to				law.	
determine the level or				• Thus, a CE may	
presence of alcohol or				disclose, without	
controlled substances in				violating HIPAA,	
his or her system.				the results of the	
 Tests may be 				chemical tests or the	
administered at the				blood specimen to	
direction of a law				the law enforcement	
enforcement officer who				officer requesting	
has reason to believe that				the test.	
the driver was driving					
with alcohol or					
controlled substances in					
his or her system.					
• NOTE: The statute does					
not expressly permit a					
CE to give the results of					
chemical tests or the					
blood specimen to the					
law enforcement officer.					
However, it seems to be					
implied in the statute as					

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
well as in <i>State v</i> .		met:	of IIII AA:	Conclusion	Electronic IIIE
Copeland, 680 S.W.2d					
327, 330 (Mo.App., S.D.					
1984), a case involving a					
similar statute related to					
operating a motor vehicle					
while under the influence					
of alcohol or drugs.					
 Also, §302.520, RSMo 					
indicates the procedure to					
be followed when the					
results of the chemical					
tests are available to the					
officer while the arrested					
person is still in custody.					
This presupposes the fact					
that the officer has access					
to the test results.					
to the test results.					
	Watercraft Regulation	n and Li	censing-State V	Water Patrol	
Chemical Tests: Operating	As Required by Law	No	State	Though the	
Watercraft or Waterborne	§164.512(a)			authorization of the	
Devices Under the	 CEs may use or disclose 			law enforcement	
Influence	PHI without giving the			officer to request the	
§§306.114 and 306.116	individual the opportunity			results of the tests or	
 Allows chemical tests of 	to agree or object and			the blood specimen	
a person's breath, blood,	without an authorization if			itself is implied in	
or saliva to determine the	it is required by law and is			the law, it is	
alcohol content of the	limited to the			nevertheless a	
blood at the direction of a	requirements of such law.			requirement of the	

¹¹³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	v 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
law enforcement officer.				law.	
• NOTE: The statute does				• Thus, a CE may	
not expressly permit a				disclose, without	
CE to give the results of				violating HIPAA,	
chemical tests or the				the results of the	
blood specimen to the				chemical tests or the	
law enforcement officer.				blood specimen to	
However, it seems to be				the law enforcement	
implied in the statute as				officer requesting	
well as in <i>State v</i> .				the test.	
<i>Copeland</i> , 680 S.W.2d					
327, 330 (Mo.App., S.D.					
1984), a case involving a					
similar statute related to					
operating a motor vehicle					
while under the influence					
of alcohol or drugs.					
• Also, §302.520, RSMo					
indicates the procedure to					
be followed when the					
results of the chemical					
tests are available to the					
officer while the arrested					
person is still in custody.					
This presupposes the fact					
that the officer has access					
to the test results.					

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for					
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE					
	OCCUPATIONS AND PROFESSIONS									
	Occupations and									
Licensure: Clinical Perfusionists §§324.165 and 334.127 20 CSR 2150-8.130 • §324.165 and 20 CSR 2150-8.130 Authorizes investigation by the Board of Registration for the Healing Arts of complaints relating to licensure violations of clinical perfusionists. • §334.127 The State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts may investigate, issue subpoenas and require	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a state licensing investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of Registration for the Healing Arts without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.						
production of documents. Licensure: Dieticians	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	Though the State						
§324.217	§164.512(d)			Committee of						
 20 CSR 2115-1.030 The Division of Professional Registration in coordination with the 	 CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, 			Dieticians is not expressly given the authority to investigate the						

¹¹⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
State Committee of Dieticians may pursue a complaint against a licensed dietician in the administrative hearing commission.	including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.			records of the licensed dieticians, it is implied from the fact that they may pursue a complaint against the dieticians. • As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration or the State Committee of Dieticians without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Licensure: Massage Therapists §§324.250 and 324.255 20 CSR 2197-6.020 §324.250 • Requires a survey inspection for the	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations,	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration or	

¹¹⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
renewal of a business license for massage therapy. §324.255 • Authorizes other survey inspections during normal business hours. 20 CSR 2197-6.020 • Authorizes the Division of Professional Registration/Board of Therapeutic Massage to investigate licensure complaints.	inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	met:	Of IIII AA:	Board of Therapeutic Massage without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	Electronic IIIE
Licensure: Acupuncturists §§324.481 and 324.499 20 CSR 2015-1.010 §324.481 • The State Board of Chiropractic Examiners has the authority to issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify or produce evidence in proceedings to deny, suspend or revoke	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration, the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners and the Missouri Acupuncturist	

¹¹⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE			
licensure. §324.499 and 20 CSR 2015- 1.010 • The Division of Professional Registration, the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners and the Missouri Acupuncturist Advisory Committee have the authority to investigate alleged licensure violations.		inct.	or initial.	Advisory Committee without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	Electronic THE			
VIOIMIOIIS		Podiati	rists					
Licensure: Podiatrists §330.190 20 CSR 2230-2.041 • Authorizes the Board of Podiatric Medicine to investigate complaints against podiatrists.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of Podiatric Medicine without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.				
Chiropractors								
Licensure: Chiropractors §331.060 20 CSR 2070-2.065	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation,				

¹¹⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wiissouri Statute	Tim Mi Tivacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
The Missouri State Board	health oversight agencies		-	licensees may	
of Chiropractic	for oversight activities			disclose PHI to the	
Examiners may	authorized by law,			Missouri State	
investigate complaints	including audits,			Board of	
and pursue them with the	investigations,			Chiropractic	
Administrative Hearing	inspections, licensure etc.			Examiners without	
Commission.				violating HIPAA	
				because it is a	
				permissible health	
				oversight activity.	
		Denti			
Licensure: Dentists, Dental	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	• As part of a	
Assistants and Dental	§164.512(d)			licensure	
Hygienists	CEs may disclose PHI to			investigation,	
§332.051	health oversight agencies			licensees may	
20 CSR 2110-2.200 and	for oversight activities			disclose PHI to the	
20 CSR 2110-2.210	authorized by law,			Dental Board	
	including audits,			without violating	
§332.051 and 20 CSR 2110-	investigations,			HIPAA because it is	
2.200	inspections, licensure etc.			a permissible health	
Dental Board has the				oversight activity.	
authority to investigate	Preemption Exception			Licensed dentists	
complaints against its	§160.203(c)			may disclose PHI to	
licensees.	Generally, HIPAA			the Dental Board as	
40 CCD 4110 4 410	preempts contrary state			part of this	
20 CSR 2110-2.210	laws.			mandatory reporting	
Requires dentists to	• One exception to that rule			requirement because	
report to the Dental	is when the state law			such reports are	
Board any mortality or	provides for the reporting			exempted from	
incident requiring	of disease or injury, child			preemption and their	

¹¹⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Missouri Statute	IIII AA FIIvacy Regulations				_
hospitalization of a patient caused by or occurring during the administration of various forms of anesthesia or sedatives.	abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Public Health Activities \$164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	flict?	or HIPAA?	disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	Electronic HIE
P	hysicians and Surgeons-Therap	nists.∆th	letic Trainers	Health Care Providers	
Licensure: Physicians,	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	As part of a state	
Surgeons and Midwives	§164.512(d)	110	State	licensing	

¹²⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
§§334.021, 334.260, 334.127	CEs may disclose PHI to			investigation,	
and 334.100	health oversight agencies			licensees may	
	for oversight activities			disclose patient	
§334.021	authorized by law,			information to the	
The State Board of	including audits,			Board of	
Registration for the	investigations,			Registration for the	
Healing Arts licenses	inspections, licensure etc.			Healing Arts, even if	
physicians and surgeons.	1			it is otherwise	
	As Required by Law			privileged under	
§334.260	§164.512(a)			state law, without	
Midwives licensed on	CEs may use or disclose			violating HIPAA	
August 29, 1959 are	PHI without giving the			because it is a	
subject to the authority of	individual the opportunity			permissible health	
the State Board of	to agree or object and			oversight activity.	
Registration for the	without an authorization if			• In any investigation,	
Healing Arts.	it is required by law and is			hearing or	
	limited to the			proceeding to	
§334.127	requirements of such law.			determine the fitness	
The State Board of	1			of a licensee or	
Registration for the	1			applicant to practice,	
Healing Arts may	1			CEs must disclose	
investigate, issue	1			requested PHI to the	
subpoenas and require	1			Board of	
production of documents.	1			Registration for the	
	1			Healing Arts during	
§334.100	1			an investigation of a	
• In any investigation,				licensee or applicant	
hearing or other				because this	
proceeding to determine				provision of	
the fitness of a licensee				Missouri law	

¹²¹

or applicant to practice, patient records are discoverable and admissible into evidence, regardless of any statutory or common law privilege.	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion overrides state statutory and common law privileges.	Implications for Electronic HIE
Reporting of Intoxication to Law Enforcement §334.265 • Any physician who treats a person who appears intoxicated for injuries sustained in a motor vehicle accident may immediately report it to the highway patrol/local law enforcement agency.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention.	No	State	CEs may report intoxicated persons pursuant to state law, including PHI, without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption.	
Licensure: Physical Therapists and Physical Therapist Assistants §§334.520, 334.127 and 334.100 §334.520	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits,	No	State	• As part of a state licensing investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of Registration for the	

¹²²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1111550411504000	in the state of th	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 The Board of Registration for the Healing Arts is responsible for licensing physical therapists and physical therapist assistants. §334.127 The Board has authority to investigate, issue subpoenas and require production of documents. §334.100 Records relating to such investigation are discoverable and admissible into evidence, regardless of any statutory or common law privilege. 	investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			Healing Arts without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity. In any investigation, hearing or proceeding to determine the fitness of a licensee or applicant to practice, CEs must disclose requested PHI to the Board of Registration for the Healing Arts during an investigation of a licensee or applicant because this provision of Missouri law overrides state statutory and common law privileges.	
Licensure: Athletic Trainers §§334.706, 334.127 and 334.100	 Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies 	No	State	 As part of a state licensing investigation, licensees may 	

¹²³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
112200000000000000000000000000000000000		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	for oversight activities			disclose PHI to the	
§334.706	authorized by law,			Board of	
• The Board of	including audits,			Registration for the	
Registration for the	investigations,			Healing Arts, even if	
Healing Arts, which is	inspections, licensure etc.			it is otherwise	
responsible for				privileged under	
registering athletic	As Required by Law			state law, without	
trainers, may issue	§164.512(a)			violating HIPAA	
subpoenas to compel	• CEs may use or disclose			because it is a	
witnesses to testify or	PHI without giving the			permissible health	
produce evidence in	individual the opportunity			oversight activity.	
proceedings relating to	to agree or object and			• In any investigation/	
an athletic trainer's	without an authorization if			hearing/proceeding	
registration.	it is required by law and is			to determine the	
	limited to the			fitness of a licensee	
§334.127	requirements of such law.			or applicant to	
The Board generally has				practice, CEs must	
authority to investigate,				disclose requested	
issue subpoenas and				PHI to the Board of	
require production of				Registration for the	
documents.				Healing Arts during	
8224400				an investigation of a	
§334.100				licensee or applicant	
Records relating to such				because this	
investigation are				provision of	
discoverable and admissible				Missouri law	
into evidence, regardless of				overrides state	
any statutory or common law				statutory and	
privilege.				common law	
				privileges.	

¹²⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Licensure: Physician	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	As part of a state	
Assistants	§164.512(d)			licensing	
§§334.735, 334.127 and	CE may disclose PHI to			investigation,	
334.100	public health oversight			licensees may	
	agency for oversight			disclose PHI to the	
§334.735	activities authorized by			Board of	
The Board of	law, including audits,			Registration for the	
Registration for the	investigations,			Healing Arts without	
Healing Arts is	inspections, licensure etc.			violating HIPAA	
responsible for licensing				because it is a	
physician assistants.	As Required by Law			permissible health	
	§164.512(a)			oversight activity.	
§334.127	CEs may use or disclose			• In any investigation,	
• The Board has authority	PHI without giving the			hearing or	
to investigate, issue	individual the opportunity			proceeding to	
subpoenas and require	to agree or object and			determine the fitness	
production of documents.	without an authorization if			of a licensee or	
	it is required by law and is			applicant to practice,	
§334.100	limited to the			CEs must disclose	
Records relating to such	requirements of such law.			requested PHI to the	
investigation are				Board of	
discoverable and				Registration for the	
admissible into evidence,				Healing Arts during	
regardless of any				an investigation of a	
statutory or common law				licensee or applicant	
privilege.				because this	
				provision of	
				Missouri law	
				overrides state	
				statutory and	

¹²⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
			common law privileges.	
Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration or the Missouri Board of Respiratory Care without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Harlik Ossassiaki Astistica			- A	
 §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities 	No	State	licensure investigation, licensees may disclose privileged	
	Health Oversight Activities \$164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Health Oversight Activities \$164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Nurs Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities	Health Oversight Activities No State As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to health oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Nurses Health Oversight Activities S164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities for oversight activities licensees may disclose privileged licensees may disclose privileged

¹²⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
documents and records. • Such subpoenas may be enforced in circuit court.	including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	Thet.		Board of Nursing without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	Electronic IIIE
		Optome	trists		
Licensure: Optometrists §336.150 20 CSR 2210-2.040 • The State Board of Optometry may investigate licensure complaints against optometrists.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the State Board of Optometry without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
	Psychologists-Profes	sional C	ounselors-Soci	al Workers	
Licensure: Psychologists § 337.065 20 CSR 2235-4.030 • State Committee of Psychologists may investigate licensure violations.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the State Committee of Psychologists without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹²⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Licensure: Professional Counselors §337.520 • The Division of Professional Registration within the Department of Economic Development may establish procedures for investigating licensure violations. 20 CSR 2095-1.050 • Investigation of complaints.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Licensure: Social Workers §337.627 20 CSR 2263-1.025 • The regulation creates a process for the State Committee for Social Workers to receive licensure complaints. The regulation is not clear as to the Committee's investigatory powers but the Committee is given the authority to investigate in §337.627,	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the State Committee for Social Workers without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹²⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
RSMo. The Committee may also file a complaint with the administrative hearing commission under §337.630, RSMo.					
Licensure: Marital and Family Therapists §337.727 20 CSR 2233-1.030 • The regulation creates a process for the Division of Professional Registration to receive licensure complaints in coordination with the State Committee of Marital and Family Therapists. The regulation is not clear as to the Committee's investigatory powers but the Division is given the authority to investigate in §337.727, RSMo and may file a complaint with the administrative hearing commission under §337.730, RSMo.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Division of Professional Registration or the State Committee of Marital and Family Therapists without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹²⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1121550 421 5 66660		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Pharma	cists and	Pharmacies		
Licensure: Pharmacists and Pharmacy Technicians §§338.013, 338.020, 338.095, 338.100, 338.140, 338.150 and 338.314					
 \$338.095 Defines prescription drug order and telephone prescription. 	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Because disclosure for treatment purposes under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs may disclose patient information for the purpose of calling in a prescription for a patient only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. Consent under state 	

¹³⁰

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1,2350 021 5 00000		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 \$338.013 Pharmacy Technicians must be registered by the Board of Pharmacy. \$338.020 Pharmacists must be licensed by the Board of Pharmacy. 	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	law is implied based on the patient's request for the provider to call in the prescription to the pharmacy. • As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of Pharmacy without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health	
§338.100	As Required by Law			oversight activity.	
 Requires that records maintained by a pharmacy be considered confidential but the Board shall have access to prescriptions and other confidential records. §338.140 Allows the Board of Pharmacy to prosecute licensure violations. 	 \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. 				

¹³¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
 §§338.150 and 338.314 Allows the Board or a person authorized by the Board to inspect pharmacies including pharmacies inside long-term care facilities. 					
			dministrators		
Licensure: Nursing Home Administrators §344.070 19 CSR 73-1.010 and 19 CSR 73-2.085 • The Board of Nursing Home Administrators may investigate licensure complaints.	 Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. 	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of Nursing Home Administrators without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
		ologists	and Audiologi		
Licensure: Speech Pathologists and Audiologists	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to	No	State	• As part of a state licensing investigation,	
\$\$345.030, 345.080, 334.127 and 334.100 20 CSR 2150-4.090	health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law,			licensees may disclose PHI to the Board of	
§345.030 and 345.080	including audits, investigations,			Registration for the Healing Arts without	

¹³²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 The State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts and the Advisory Commission for Speech-Language Pathologists and Audiologists may investigate licensure complaints. \$334.127 The State Board of Registration for the Healing Arts may investigate, issue subpoenas and require production of documents. \$334.100 Records relating to such investigation are discoverable and admissible into evidence, regardless of any statutory or common law privilege. 	inspections, licensure etc.			violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity. In any investigation, hearing or proceeding to determine the fitness of a licensee or applicant to practice, CEs must disclose requested PHI to the Board of Registration for the Healing Arts during an investigation of a licensee or applicant because this provision of Missouri law overrides state statutory and common law privileges.	
20 CSR 2150-4.090Investigation of licensure complaints					

¹³³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	-	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
			rs and Dealers		
Licensure: Hearing Aid Fitters and Dealers §346.125 20 CSR 2165-2.070 • Missouri Board of Examiners for Hearing Instrument Specialists may investigate licensure complaints.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• As part of a licensure investigation, licensees may disclose PHI to the Missouri Board of Examiners for Hearing Instrument Specialists without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
	CORPORATIONS, ASS	OCIATI	ONS AND PA	RTNERSHIPS	
	Health Services Corporati				
Licensure: Health Services	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	As part of a	
Corporations	§164.512(d)			licensing	
§§354.190, 354.285 and 354.355 §354.190	CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law,			investigation under Chapter 354, RSMo, licensees and the companies that	
• The Director of the	including audits,			manage them may	
Department of Insurance	investigations,			disclose PHI to the	
has authority to	inspections, licensure etc.			Department of	
investigate violations of	mopeonomo, neomonie etc.			Insurance without	
law pertaining to health	Business Associate			violating HIPAA	
services corporations and	§160.103			because it is a	
compel production of	• The definition of business			permissible health	

¹³⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
records, books, papers, contracts and other documents. §§354.285 and 354.355 • The Director has same authority to review records of companies that manage health services corporations and bring suit against such corporations based on such review.	associate includes entities that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information. Content of BA contract §164.504(e)(2)(i)(H) The BA contract must require the BA to make its records related to a CE available to the Secretary of DHHS to determine the CE's compliance under HIPAA.			oversight activity. Companies that manage health services corporations must sign BA agreements. Though such agreements must require access by the Secretary, they do not conflict with a state law that provides access by the Department of Insurance.	
Licensure: Health Maintenance Organizations §§354.465, 354.500 and 354.621 • Director of the Department of Insurance may examine the records of HMOs, arrange meetings with potential violators to ascertain facts relating to the	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. Business Associate §160.103	No	State	• As part of a licensing investigation under Chapter 354, RSMo, licensees may disclose PHI to the Department of Insurance without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹³⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	Time in the second	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
suspected violation and examine records of intermediaries of HMOs.	 The definition of business associate includes entities that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information. Content of BA contract §164.504(e)(2)(i)(H) The BA contract must require the BA to make its records related to a CE available to the Secretary of DHHS to determine the CE's compliance under HIPAA. 			 Intermediaries who are acting as agents of HMOs in transferring enrollee information for payment purposes must sign BA agreements. Though such agreements must require access by the Secretary, they do not conflict with a state law that provides access by the Department of Insurance. 	
 Prepaid Dental Plans §354.717 The Director of the Department of Insurance or his representative has the authority to examine records of prepaid dental plans whenever he deems it necessary. 	 Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. 	No	State	• As part of an examination under Chapter 354, RSMo, CEs may disclose PHI to the Department of Insurance without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹³⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for				
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE				
Uniform Limited Partnership Law									
 Limited Partnerships §359.681 The Secretary of State has the authority to examine books and records of limited partnerships and it is a class B misdemeanor to refuse to produce the records. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	To the extent CEs may be considered limited partnerships, they may disclose PHI to the Secretary of State as part of an examination under Chapter 359, RSMo, CEs without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.					
	BUSINESS AND	FINAN(CIAL INSTITU	UTIONS					
I	Department of Insurance; Provi	sions Ap	plicable to All	Insurance Companies					
Insurance Companies	As Required by Law	No	State	• To the extent					
§§374.190, 374.194 374.205,	§164.512(a)			insurance companies					
375.037, 375.149, 375.164,	CEs may use or disclose			are CEs, they may					
375.231, 375.775, 375.937,	PHI without giving the			disclose PHI to the					
375.938, 375.940, 375.991,	individual the opportunity			Department of					
375.992, 375.994, 375.1009,	to agree or object and			Insurance as part of					
375.1010, 375.1050,	without an authorization if			an examination					
375.1100, 375.1132,	it is required by law and is			under Chapter 374,					
375.1156 and 375.1172	limited to the			RSMo without					
• The Director of the	requirements of such law.			violating HIPAA					
Department of Insurance				because it is required					
has the authority, under	Health Oversight Activities			by law and might be					
various circumstances, to	§164.512(d)			considered a					
examine, directly or	CEs may disclose PHI to			permissible health					

¹³⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
indirectly, the books, records and other documents of companies in the business of insurance and in many instances may issue subpoenas and compel production of records.	health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.			oversight activity.	
Genetic Information:	Authorizations	Yes	State	Confidentiality	
Confidentiality and	§164.508	and	and	 Regarding the 	
Disclosure	• Except as otherwise	No	HIPAA	general provision of	
§375.1309	permitted under HIPAA, a		(depends on	confidentiality of	
• Genetic information is	CE may not use or		the situation)	genetic information,	
deemed confidential and	disclose PHI without an			an authorization	
may not be disclosed	authorization.			under HIPAA is	
unless pursuant to written	• The content of such			more stringent than	
authorization of the	authorization must comply			the requirements for	
patient.	with HIPAA standards.			a state law authorization.	
				authorization.If HIPAA would	
				require an	
				authorization for a	
				particular disclosure,	
				the authorization	
				must follow HIPAA	
				requirements and	
				state law is	
				preempted.	
				• If HIPAA would not	

¹³⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
• Exceptions to the authorization requirement are				require an authorization, such as for TPO, the state authorization would still be required but it would not have to comply with the authorization content requirements under HIPAA. Exceptions	
1. Statistical data compiled without reference to the identity of an individual.	De-identification of PHI §164.514 • Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI.	Yes	HIPAA	Absent a HIPAA-compliant authorization, statistical data may not be disclosed under HIPAA unless it is de-identified or is otherwise permitted under HIPAA.	
2. Health research in accordance with the federal "common rule" or health	Research §164.512(i) • CEs may use and disclose PHI for research purposes	Yes and No	State and HIPAA	• The state law research exception has two components: Research in	

¹³⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
research using medical archives or databases in which identity is removed or encrypted.	if meet certain requirements. De-identification of PHI §164.514 • Lists all elements of PHI that must be eliminated in order to de-identify the PHI.			accordance with the federal "common rule" and research using archives or databases. In either event, research permitted under state law would require compliance under HIPAA research provisions or deidentification of data. Because the research requirements under state law and HIPAA are difficult to integrate, CEs should ensure that they meet the research requirements of both state law and HIPAA.	
3. Release of information pursuant to legal or regulatory process.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial	Yes	State	• In order to release PHI pursuant to Missouri legal and regulatory process, a court or	

¹⁴⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, S	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	or administrative			administrative order	
	proceeding in response to			is generally required.	
	an order by the court or			See <i>Ingram v</i> .	
	administrative tribunal or,			Mutual of Omaha,	
	if certain circumstances			170 F.Supp.2d 907	
	are met, in response to a			(W.D.Mo. 2001)	
	subpoena, discovery			(Health care centers,	
	request or other lawful			hospitals and	
	process.			insurers must assert	
				the fiduciary duty of	
				confidentiality on	
				behalf of the patient,	
				even if PHI is	
				requested by	
				subpoena).	
				Because state law	
				requires a court or	
				administrative order	
				for disclosure	
				pursuant to legal	
				process, it is more	
				stringent than	
				HIPAA provisions	
				regarding legal	
				process.	
				• Thus, CEs may only	
				disclose genetic	
				information without	
				an authorization	
				under this Missouri	

¹⁴¹

HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State I aw	Discussion and	Implications for
IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations				Electronic HIE
			statutory exception if there is a court or administrative order.	Electronic IIIE
 Coroners and Medical Examiners §164.512(g) CEs may disclose PHI to the coroner or medical examiner for purposes of identifying the deceased and the cause of death. CEs may use PHI for the same purposes if they are acting as the coroner or medical examiner in a given situation. 	No	State	CEs may disclose genetic information to the coroner and medical examiner or may use the information themselves for purposes of identification of the body.	
Life, Health	and Ac	cident Insuran	ce	
 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Business Associate §160.103 The definition of business 	Yes	State and HIPAA	part of the health care operations of the health carrier and is thus permissible under HIPAA. • The state law and HIPAA requirements for delegation of the	
	 §164.512(g) CEs may disclose PHI to the coroner or medical examiner for purposes of identifying the deceased and the cause of death. CEs may use PHI for the same purposes if they are acting as the coroner or medical examiner in a given situation. Life, Health TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Business Associate §160.103 	Coroners and Medical Examiners §164.512(g) CEs may disclose PHI to the coroner or medical examiner for purposes of identifying the deceased and the cause of death. CEs may use PHI for the same purposes if they are acting as the coroner or medical examiner in a given situation. Life, Health and Active States and health care operations. Business Associate §160.103 The definition of business	Coroners and Medical Examiners §164.512(g) CEs may disclose PHI to the coroner or medical examiner for purposes of identifying the deceased and the cause of death. CEs may use PHI for the same purposes if they are acting as the coroner or medical examiner in a given situation. Life, Health and Accident Insuran TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Business Associate §160.103 The definition of business	Coroners and Medical No State CEs may disclose Examiners §164.512(g)

¹⁴²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for		
1,11550411 544040	lining negativous	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE		
maintaining a written description of the organization's activities and responsibilities, evidence of formal approval of the organization's program by the health carrier and a process for evaluating the organization's performance.	that perform a function or activity on behalf of a covered entity involving the use or disclosure of individually identifiable health information. BA Contracts §164.504(e) Lists the required content of business associate contracts and	inct.		function are very different and are not easily integrated. Thus, health carriers should comply with both state law and HIPAA.	Electronic Title		
	arrangements.						
			OMMERCE	D 6 4 4			
D II D CA44	Transfers to Minors-Personal						
 Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care §404.840 An attorney-in-fact designated in a durable power of attorney for health care has the same right of access as the patient to the patient's medical records. 	Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) CEs may disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in making decisions related to health care.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to attorneys-in- fact under state law without violating HIPAA because such individuals are considered personal representatives under HIPAA.			
DEBTOR-CREDITOR RELATIONS							
Statutory Liens Against Personalty-Preferred Claims							
Hospital Liens: Notice §430.240	As Required by Law §164.512(a)	Yes	State	• State law requires consent of the			

¹⁴³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

For a lien to be effective, the hospital must provide to the party alleged to be liable a notice containing certain PHI.	CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	patient to disclose privileged patient information for purposes of payment. • Once the patient consents under state law to disclosure of PHI for payment purposes, CEs may disclose PHI for payment purposes under the hospital	Implications for Electronic HIE
	CONTRACTS AND	CONT	RACTUAL RE	lien statute without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law.	
			as to Contract		
Minors' Medical Records §§431.061and 431.062 §431.061 • Minors generally may not consent to medical treatment for themselves	Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to an individual's parent, guardian or person acting in loco parentis who has	No	State and HIPAA (depending on situation)	To the extent minors are authorized under state law to consent to medical treatment on their own behalf, CEs are generally prohibited under	
without further consent from a parent, guardian	authority to act on behalf of an unemancipated			HIPAA from disclosing their	

¹⁴⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

or person acting in loco parentis EXCEPT minors may consent on their own behalf for treatment for The parentic of the parent	Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
or person acting in loco parentis EXCEPT minors may consent on their own behalf for treatment for minor in making decisions related to health care. EXCEPTION: The parent, guardian or person acting medical information to parents, guardians or persons acting in loco parentis.	Wilsbouri Statute	Time invacy Regulations				
in loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and surgeons may disclose PHI of a minor pursuant to sate law, which minor's PHI pertaining to examination or treatment obtained for pregnancy, venereal disease or substance abuse. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and may not access the minor and may not access the minor and may not access the minor of a child. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and may not access the minor of a child. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and may not access the minor of a child. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and may not access the minor of a child. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and unexpense may disclose PHI of a minor pursuant to \$431.062, RSMo they may do so without violating HIPAA because HIPAA defers to state law, which permits disclosure in this situation. In loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor on the parentis in the personal representative of an unemancipated minor on the personal representative. In loco parentis is not the personal disclose PHI of a minor pursuant to \$431.062, RSMo they may do so without violating HIPAA defers to state law, which permits disclosure in this situation. In loco parentis is not the personal and surgeons may disclose PHI of a minor pursuant to \$431.062, RSMo they may do so without violating HIPAA defers to state law, which permits disclosure in this situation.	parentis EXCEPT minors may consent on their own behalf for treatment for pregnancy, venereal disease and substance abuse or for any purpose if they have been lawfully married or are a parent or legal custodian of a child. §431.062 • A physician or surgeon may disclose, without the minor's consent, the minor's PHI pertaining to examination or treatment obtained for pregnancy, venereal disease or substance abuse unless the minor is determined not to be pregnant or suffering from venereal disease or substance	related to health care. EXCEPTION: The parent, guardian or person acting in loco parentis is not the personal representative of an unemancipated minor and may not access the minor's PHI if the minor consents to a particular health care service, no other consent is required by law AND the minor does not request the person be considered a personal representative. Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(ii) Notwithstanding the exceptions to the personal representative rule in §164.502(g)(3)(i), a CE may disclose PHI of an unemancipated minor to the parent, guardian or person acting in loco	flict?	or HIPAA?	medical information to parents, guardians or persons acting in loco parentis. • However, to the extent physicians and surgeons may disclose PHI of a minor pursuant to §431.062, RSMo they may do so without violating HIPAA because HIPAA defers to state law, which permits disclosure in	

¹⁴⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) CEs may disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in making decisions related to health care.				
	OWNERSHIP AND	CONVE	YANCE OF P	ROPERTY	
	Lost and	l Unclair	ned Property		
 Abandoned Property §447.539 Health care providers are among those who must report abandoned property to the State Treasurer. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Preemption Exception §160.203(b) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state	No	State	 Abandoned property includes intangible property such as money owed to a patient because of insurance overpayment. To the extent such property reveals patient information, it is PHI. CEs may report abandoned property to the State Treasurer without 	

¹⁴⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
in in invacy regulations			15 5 5 15 15 15 5	Electronic HIE
laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law is more stringent and relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information §160.202 A law relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information if it has the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and	flict?	or HIPAA?	violating HIPAA because it is required by law. Though there is no conflict between this provision of state law and HIPAA, it probably does not implicate the preemption issue because does not have the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affect the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way.	Electronic HIE
substantial way.				
DOME	STIC R	ELATIONS		
Dissolution of Marriage, Div	orce, Ali	imony and Sep	arate Maintenance	
Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(ii) Notwithstanding the	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to custodial and non-custodial parents pursuant to	
	 One exception to that rule is when the state law is more stringent and relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information §160.202 A law relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information if it has the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way. DOME Dissolution of Marriage, Div Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(ii) 	laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law is more stringent and relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information \$160.202 A law relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information if it has the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way. DOMESTIC R Dissolution of Marriage, Divorce, Ali Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors \$164.502(g)(3)(ii)	laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law is more stringent and relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information \$160.202 A law relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information if it has the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way. DOMESTIC RELATIONS Dissolution of Marriage, Divorce, Alimony and Sep Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors \$164.502(g)(3)(ii)	laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law is more stringent and relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information. Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information Relates to the Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information \$160.202 A law relates to the privacy of individually identifiable health information if it has the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information or affects the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way. DOMESTIC RELATIONS Dissolution of Marriage, Divorce, Alimony and Separate Maintenance Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors \$164.502(g)(3)(ii) Violating HIPAA because it is required by law. Though there is no conflict between this provision of state law and HIPAA, it probably does not implicate the probably does not implicate the preemption issue because does not have the specific purpose of protecting the privacy of health information or affect the privacy of health information or affect the privacy of health information in a direct, clear and substantial way. DOMESTIC RELATIONS Dissolution of Marriage, Divorce, Alimony and Separate Maintenance Personal Representatives: No State OCEs may disclose PHI to custodial and non-custodial

¹⁴⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsouii Statute	Time invacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
have access to the PHI of their minor children unless they have been denied custody or visitation rights. • However, if the non-custodial parent has restricted or supervised visitation rights due to domestic violence, the court may order PHI to be released without the address of the custodial parent.	representative rule in \$164.502(g)(3)(i), a CE may disclose PHI of an unemancipated minor to the parent, guardian or person acting <i>in loco parentis</i> if it is permitted or required under state or other law. As Required by Law \$164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			without violating HIPAA because HIPAA defers to state law, which permits disclosure in this situation.	Electronic HTE
 Custodial Arrangements: Investigation §452.390 A court may order an investigation and report concerning custodial arrangements for a child. The investigator may 	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is	Yes and No	State and HIPAA (depends on the situation)	• The investigation under §452.390, RSMo is performed pursuant to a court order. Though the statute permits but does not require the investigator to	

¹⁴⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
obtain medical and psychiatric information concerning the child without obtaining consent from the parent or custodian of the child but the investigator must obtain the consent of the child if the child is at least 16 years old, unless the court finds the child lacks mental capacity to consent.	limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal. Authorizations §164.508 Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. HIPAA has a list of core elements and requirements concerning the content of authorizations.			request access to PHI, CEs are required to disclose it upon such request. However, if the child is 16 years or older, state law requires the child to consent to disclosure of their PHI to the investigator. Thus, CEs may disclose PHI for purposes of such investigation without violating HIPAA if the child is not yet 16 years old because it is required by law. If the child is 16 years or older, the state statute requires consent but HIPAA would require an authorization and thus is more stringent. In such circumstances, CEs must follow HIPAA and may only	

¹⁴⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations JSTS AND ESTATES OF DEC	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion disclose PHI if they obtain the authorization of the child who is 16 years or older.	Implications for Electronic HIE
IK	Probate Code-Adm				
Personal Representative of an Estate §§473.110, 473.113 and 473.117 • These statutes list individuals who may or may not be appointed as personal representatives of a deceased's estate if under various circumstances. • Leritz v. Koehr, 844 S.W.2d 583 (Mo.App., E.D. 1993) (personal representative of a deceased individual may waive the deceased's physician-patient privilege).	Personal Representatives: Deceased Individuals §164.502(g)(4) If an executor, administrator or other person has authority, under applicable law, to act on behalf of a deceased individual, a CE must treat that person as a personal representative under HIPAA and allow access to PHI accordingly.	No	State	CEs may allow a personal representative of a deceased individual to waive the deceased individual's privilege and disclose PHI to such representative as permitted under state law without violating HIPAA because such person is recognized as a personal representative under HIPAA.	
Cuandiana Haaring an			Grate State	• Disalogues of some set	
Guardians: Hearing on	Judicial and Administrative	No	State	Disclosure of report	

¹⁵⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Capacity or Disability §475.075 • Court appointed physician or licensed psychologist or other professional shall submit his report in writing to the court and counsel for all parties.	Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal. As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f).			is consistent with HIPAA because such report is a result of a court order.	
Status Review of	Judicial and Administrative	No	State	• Copies of records of a ward in	
Guardianship §475.082	Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i)			a ward in guardianship	
• Court may require	• CEs may disclose PHI in			hearings pursuant to	
hospital, physician, or	the course of any judicial			a court order is	
custodial facility to	or administrative			consistent with	

¹⁵¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
submit copies of their records relating to the treatment, habilitation or care of the ward.	proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal.			HIPAA.	
Guardians: Powers and	Personal Representatives:	No	State	 CEs may disclose 	
Duties	Unemancipated Minors			PHI to guardians of	
 §475.120 Guardians of incapacitated persons shall have all powers and duties required to provide for their ward's care and treatment. 	 §164.502(g)(3)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to an individual's parent, guardian or person acting in loco parentis who has authority to act on behalf of an unemancipated minor in making decisions related to health care. Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) CEs may disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in making decisions related to health care. 			incapacitated persons as permitted under state law without violating HIPAA because such guardians, whether their ward is an adult or a minor, are recognized as personal representatives under HIPAA.	
	As Required by Law §164.512(a)				

¹⁵²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.				
	EVIDENCE AND	LEGAL	ADVERTISE	EMENTS	
		Witnes			
 Physician-Patient Privilege §491.060.5 Physician cannot testify to any information acquired from any patient while attending to patient in a professional character and which is necessary to enable physician to prescribe and provide treatment for such patient. Common Law: The common law privilege is based on a fiduciary duty¹ of confidentiality 	 §164.508 Authorizations Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. HIPAA has a list of core elements and requirements concerning the content of authorizations. 	Yes	State	That statutory and common law physician-patient privilege significantly restricts the ability of such providers and entities to disclose PHI.	

¹ Brandt v. Medical Defense Associates, 856 S.W. 2d 667 (Mo. Banc 1993).

153

1664508.6

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
and is applied to health care centers, hospitals and insurers. Because the privilege is based on the patient's relationship with a physician, it extends to records.					
extends to records.	STATITOD	V A CTI	ONS AND TO	DTC	
			s for Damages	W15	
Peer Review Committees §537.035 Creates peer review privilege with respect to information created by peer review committees regarding the care provided to a patient.	 §164.508 Authorizations Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. HIPAA has a list of core elements and requirements concerning the content of authorizations. 	Yes	State	• The state peer review privilege restricts disclosure of certain information created by peer review committees, which would otherwise be a permissible disclosure with a HIPAA-compliant authorization.	
			ROCEDURE		
	Criminal Procee				
Mental Capacity to be Tried or Convicted §552.020 • Under certain	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the	No	State	CEs may disclose reports of psychiatric examinations of	

 $^{^2}$ Ingram v. Mutual of Omaha, 170 F. Supp. 2d 907 (W.D. Mo. 2001). 3 State v. Henderson, 824 S.W. 2d 445 (E.D. Mo. App. 1991).

154

1664508.6

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
112255 0 222 5 200 200		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
circumstances, the court is required to appoint a psychiatrist, psychologist or physician with appropriate expertise to examine the accused in a criminal case and such order must direct that the report of the examination be filed with the court.	individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	inct:	OF HIFAA:	accused individuals to the court under §552.020, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is pursuant to a court order that is required by law.	Electronic HTE
Not Guilty by Reason of Mental Disease or Defect §552.030 • Under certain	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2_ • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the	No	State	CEs may disclose reports of psychiatric examinations of	

¹⁵⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsouti Statute	Till Till Tilvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
circumstances, the court is required to appoint a psychiatrist, psychologist or physician with appropriate expertise to examine the accused in a criminal case and such order must direct that the report of the examination be filed with the court.	individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.			accused individuals to the court under §552.030, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is pursuant to a court order that is required by law.	
Criminally Accused Individuals: Release after Commitment §552.040					

¹⁵⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
-1- 		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Under certain circumstances, the head of a facility where an individual is committed may file an application with the court for the release of the individual.	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI to the court for a determination of whether discontinuation of treatment is appropriate only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. 	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsout Statute	Time invacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
If such release of the committed individual requires examination of the individual by a psychiatrist, psychologist or physician with appropriate expertise, the report of such examination must be filed with the application.	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f)	No	State	CEs may disclose the results of a psychiatric examination to the court as required by this statute without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.	
• In some cases, notification of the prosecuting attorney or sheriff is required.	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f)(1) CEs may disclose PHI for law enforcement purposes as required by law.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to law enforcement officials such as the prosecuting attorney and sheriff under this section because it is required by law for law enforcement purposes.	
• The Department of Mental Health <i>may</i> request a peace officer to apprehend and return an	Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(ii) • A CE may, consistent	No	State	DMH may disclose such PHI as is necessary to request the sheriff to	

¹⁵⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
TVIISSOUTI STUDEN	Till I II was regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
individual who has	with applicable law and			apprehend an	
violated the terms of	ethical standards, disclose			individual who has	
conditional release.	PHI if the CE has a good			violated the terms of	
	faith belief that such			conditional release	
	disclosure is necessary for			without	
	law enforcement			authorization	
	authorities to identify or			because the	
	apprehend an individual			individual has	
	where the individual has			effectively escaped	
	escaped from a			from lawful custody.	
	correctional institution or				
	other form of lawful				
	custody as it is defined				
	under §164.501 of				
	HIPAA.				
DOC: Transfer of Inmate	TPO	Yes	HIPAA	This section	
to Mental Hospital	§164.506			contemplates one	
§552.050	• CEs may use and disclose			CE (DOC)	
• Permits the Department	PHI for treatment,			disclosing PHI to	
of Corrections to transfer	payment and health care			another CE (DMH	
an inmate to a mental	operations.			or a private mental	
hospital if there is reason				hospital) in	
to believe the inmate	Notice of Privacy Practices			connection with the	
needs care in a mental	§164.520(c)			transfer of a patient	
hospital.	• CEs that are direct			for treatment	
	treatment providers must			purposes.	
	provide the NPP to their			Because the	
	patients and attempt to			disclosure of PHI by	
	obtain a written			CEs that are direct	

¹⁵⁹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	acknowledgment of			treatment providers	
	receipt of the NPP			for TPO under	
				HIPAA requires	
				compliance with the	
				requirements for the	
				HIPAA NPP	
				acknowledgment,	
				HIPAA is more	
				stringent than state	
				law.	
				• Thus, CEs that are	
				direct treatment	
				providers may	
				disclose PHI for	
				purposes of	
				transferring patients	
				only if they comply	
				with the more	
				stringent	
				requirements for the	
				HIPAA NPP	
				acknowledgment.	
				_	
DOC: Mental Disease or	As Required by Law	No	State	As a CE, DOC may	
Defect of Inmate Sentenced	§164.512(a)			disclose PHI to the	
to Death	• CEs may use or disclose			individuals listed in	
§552.060	PHI without giving the			this provision of	
• If the director of DOC	individual the opportunity			Missouri law	
has reason to believe an	to agree or object and			without violating	
inmate sentenced to	without an authorization if			HIPAA because	

¹⁶⁰

death has a mental disease or defect that makes the inmate unfit for execution, the director must notify the governor, DMH, prosecuting attorney, Attorney General, and the court.	it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion such disclosure is required by law.	Implications for Electronic HIE
	MES AND PUNISHMENT; PI	EACE O	FFICERS AN	D PUBLIC DEFENDERS	
	,		ng Provisions		
 Presentence Commitment §557.031 As part of the presentencing process and investigation, the court may order the commitment of a criminal defendant for a mental examination where the court determines the defendant is likely to be suffering from a mental disease or disorder. The results of the examination must then be provided to the court. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	CEs may disclose the results of a mental examination under this provision of Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law.	

¹⁶¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	S	exual Of	fenses		
 Sexual Offenses §566.135 Court may order defendant be tested for HIV, hepatitis B and C, syphilis, gonorrhea, and Chlamydia and the results shall be released to the victim, his or her parent or guardian, prosecuting attorney and defense 	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal.	No	State	Tests results obtained and released during a judicial proceeding pursuant to a court order consistent with HIPAA.	
attorney.	Public Health Activities §164.512(b)(1)(iv) CEs may report PHI to a person who may have been exposed to a communicable disease or may be at risk of contracting or spreading the disease if the CE is authorized by law to notify such person. As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity	No	State	Releasing of court ordered test results to a victim of a sex offense is consistent with HIPAA because the victim may have been exposed to a communicable disease or at risk of spreading the disease.	

¹⁶²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. • CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f).				
		ic Safety	Offenses		
 Chemical Tests: Operating a Motor Vehicle Under the Influence §577.020 Any person who operates a motor vehicle on the public highways of the state is deemed to have consented to chemical tests of breath, blood, saliva or urine to determine the alcohol or drug content in his or her blood. Tests may be administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer under certain circumstances indicating 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	 Though the authorization of the law enforcement officer to request the results of the tests or the blood specimen itself is implied in the law, it is nevertheless a requirement of the law. Thus, a CE may disclose, without violating HIPAA, the results of the chemical tests or the blood specimen to the law enforcement officer requesting the test. 	

¹⁶³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
-1-1-52 0 th 1-1 2 th		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
that the driver was					
driving under the					
influence of alcohol.					
NOTE: The statute does					
not expressly permit a					
CE to give the results of					
chemical tests or the					
blood specimen to the					
law enforcement officer.					
However, it seems to be					
implied in the statute as					
well as in <i>State v</i> .					
<i>Copeland</i> , 680 S.W.2d					
327, 330 (Mo.App., S.D.					
1984), a case related to					
operating a motor vehicle					
while under the influence					
of alcohol or drugs.					
• Also, §302.520, RSMo					
indicates the procedure to					
be followed when the					
results of the chemical					
tests are available to the					
officer while the arrested					
person is still in custody.					
This presupposes the fact					
that the officer has access					
to the test results.					

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 3	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Chemical Tests: Access by the Individual Tested §§577.029 and 577.208 • Whenever a chemical test is performed at the direction of a law enforcement officer, the physician, nurse or medical technician performing the test must provide complete information concerning the test to the individual tested upon his or her request.	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Access of Individuals to PHI §164.524 CEs must allow, with certain limitations, an individual to inspect and obtain a copy of his or her PHI contained in a designated record set.	No	State	CEs may disclose the information about the chemical test to the individual tested pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because it is required by law and such access is permitted under HIPAA.	Executonic Title
Chemical Tests: Flight Crew Members §577.206 • Any person who operates or acts as a flight crew member of any aircraft in this state is deemed to have consented to chemical tests of breath, blood, saliva or urine to	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	• Though the authorization of the law enforcement officer to request the results of the tests or the blood specimen itself is implied in the law, it is nevertheless a requirement of the	

¹⁶⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
determine the alcohol or				law.	
drug content in his or her				• Thus, a CE may	
blood.				disclose, without	
Tests may be				violating HIPAA,	
administered at the				the results of the	
direction of a law				chemical tests or the	
enforcement officer.				blood specimen to	
• NOTE: The statute does				the law enforcement	
not expressly permit a				officer requesting	
CE to give the results of				the test.	
chemical tests or the					
blood specimen to the					
law enforcement officer.					
However, it seems to be					
implied in the statute as					
well as in State v.					
Copeland, 680 S.W.2d					
327, 330 (Mo.App., S.D.					
1984), a case involving a					
similar statute related to					
operating a motor vehicle					
while under the influence					
of alcohol or drugs.					
• Also, §302.520, RSMo					
indicates the procedure to					
be followed when the					
results of the chemical					
tests are available to the					
officer while the arrested					
person is still in custody.					

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
This presupposes the fact that the officer has access to the test results. Chemical Tests: Admission in Evidence §§577.037 and 577.214 Results of chemical tests	As Required by Law \$164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the	No No	State	CEs may disclose the results of blood alcohol tests to the court for admission	Electronic HTE
showing the alcohol content in an individual's blood are admissible in a trial for certain delineated crimes, regardless of the physician-patient privilege in §491.060, RSMo.	 individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) 			 into evidence without violating HIPAA because it is required by law and would be pursuant to a court order. Though it could be argued that these provisions of state law only waive the 	
	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a			statutory privilege and not the common law privilege, the intent of the statute is to allow admission of the evidence. In any event, an order by the court to admit the evidence would eliminate this concern for CEs.	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations subpoena, discovery	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	request or other lawful process.				
	Misc	ellaneou	s Offenses		
Reporting of Gunshot Wounds §578.350 Anyone licensed under Chapters 334 and 335, RSMo must report to a local law enforcement official the name and address, if known, of any person they treat for a gunshot wound, the nature of the wound, and the circumstances under which the treatment was rendered.	Preemption Exception §160.203(c) • Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. • One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public health surveillance, investigation or intervention. As Required by Law §164.512(a) • CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	CEs may report gunshot wounds pursuant to state law without violating HIPAA because such reports are exempted from preemption and their disclosure is required by law and is a permissible public health activity.	

¹⁶⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations Public Health Activities	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	 §164.512(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability. 				
	ADDITIONAL E	XECUT	IVE DEPART	MENTS	
	Departn	nent of M	Iental Health		
 DMH: Audits of Records §630.080 The State Auditor shall have access to all records maintained and established by DMH. Limits the State Auditor from further disclosing confidential records. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	 CEs may disclose PHI to the State Auditor pursuant to §630.080, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law. Further disclosure of PHI by the State Auditor pursuant to §630.080, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law. Further disclosure of PHI by the State Auditor is not 	

¹⁶⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1121550411504000		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				governed by HIPAA	
				because the auditor	
				is not a CE. It is	
				limited by the	
				provisions of state	
				law.	
Patient Rights: Mental	Access of Individuals to	Yes	State	Under state law,	
Health Records	PHI: Psychotherapy Notes			patients have greater	
§630.110	§164.524			rights of access to	
Requires a patient to	A patient does not have a			their mental health	
have access to his or her	right of access to inspect			records, including	
own mental and medical	and copy psychotherapy			psychotherapy notes,	
records maintained by	notes.			because access can	
certain residential				only be limited by	
facilities or day programs	Psychotherapy Notes			utilizing the	
and mental health	§164.501			therapeutic	
facilities or programs.	 Psychotherapy notes are 			privilege, whereas	
• EXEPTION: Access	defined as notes that are			under HIPAA,	
may be limited if the	recorded in any medium			access to	
head of the residential	by a health care provider			psychotherapy notes	
facility or day program	who is a mental health			is completely	
determines that access	professional documenting			prohibited. Because	
would be inconsistent	or analyzing the contents			it provides greater	
with the person's	of conversations during a			access by patients to	
therapeutic care,	private, group or family			their own records,	
treatment, habilitation or	counseling session AND			state law is more	
rehabilitation and the	that are separated from the			stringent than	
safety of other clients and	rest of the individual's			HIPAA and must be	
the public.	medical record.			followed.	

¹⁷⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	As Dogwined by Low	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	As Required by Law §164.512(a)				
	• CEs may use or disclose				
	PHI without giving the				
	individual the opportunity				
	to agree or object and without an authorization if				
	it is required by law and is				
	limited to the				
	requirements of such law.				
DMH: Records of Certain					
Facilities Operated,					
Funded or Licensed by the Department of Mental					
Health					
§630.140					
Confidentiality	Uses and Disclosures:	No	State	Both state law and	
The general rule is that	General Rules			HIPAA generally	
records compiled,	§164.502			protect medical	
obtained, prepared or maintained by certain	CEs may not use or disclose PHI except as			information at residential facilities,	
residential facilities, day	permitted under HIPAA.			day programs and	
programs and mental	_			mental health	
health facilities or				facilities or	
programs are confidential.				programs.	
Required Disclosures	As Required by Law	No	State	CEs may generally	

¹⁷¹

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
• The facility or program shall disclose information and records to the following upon their request.	 \$164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) 			disclose PHI as required under this provision of Missouri law without violating HIPAA because such disclosures are required by law. However, some of the required disclosures are preempted by HIPAA as described below.	
 The parent of a minor patient, resident or client. The guardian or person having legal custody of the patient, resident or client. 	Personal Representatives: Unemancipated Minors §164.502(g)(3)(i) CEs may disclose PHI to an individual's parent, guardian or person acting <i>in loco</i> parentis who has authority to act on behalf of an unemancipated minor in making decisions related to health care.	No	State	CEs may disclose information and records to the parent of a minor patient, resident or client without violating HIPAA.	
3. The attorney of a patient, resident or client who is a ward	 \$164.508 Authorizations Except as otherwise permitted under HIPAA, a 	Yes	HIPAA	Though CEs may disclose PHI to an attorney or personal	

¹⁷²

Missouri Statute	;	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
of juvenile of alleged incompany an incomperson do under Chap RSMo (psycoservices incocivil commit detention). 4. An attorney personal phauthorized by patient, resiculent.	ompetent, tent ward etained ter 632, chiatric luding itment and or ysician as by the	CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. • HIPAA has a list of core elements and requirements concerning the content of authorizations.	flict?	or HIPAA?	physician as required by state law, such disclosure is only required as authorized by the patient. The authorization must meet the more stringent HIPAA authorization requirements.	Electronic HIE
5. Law enforce officers and if necessary out their durinformation patients, resclients compursuant to 552, RSMo proceedings mental illne	l agencies, to carry ties, about sidents or mitted Chapter (criminal s involving	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f)(1) CEs may disclose PHI for law enforcement purposes as required by law, such as reporting statutes and regulations.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI in order for law enforcement to carry out their duties, particularly with criminal proceedings involving mental illness, without violating HIPAA.	
6. Certain adventities for	•	Victims of Abuse, Neglect or Domestic Violence	Yes	HIPAA	To the extent disclosures to	

¹⁷³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
with developmental disabilities if the individual authorizes such access or, in certain circumstances involving abuse or neglect of the individual, if the individual is unable to authorize because of mental or physical condition. 7. Certain advocacy entities for persons with mental illness. If the individual is unable to authorize such access, it will be granted in certain circumstances involving abuse or neglect of the individual.	 S164.512(c) CE may disclose PHI to an agency authorized to receive information about an individual believed to be a victim of abuse, neglect or domestic violence to the extent such disclosure is required by law and complies with the requirements of that law. If the CE discloses PHI pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety of the individual is at risk. 			advocacy entities require authorization from the patient, such authorization must comply with HIPAA authorization requirements. In addition, CEs may only disclose PHI to advocacy agencies if they also comply with HIPAA requirements for disclosures about victims of abuse, neglect or domestic violence.	
8. To mental health coordinators as necessary for them to carry out their duties under Chapter 632, RSMo. (psychiatric	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. 	Yes	HIPAA	Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires	

¹⁷⁴

services, including civil commitment and detention). Notice of Privacy Practices \$164.520(c) • CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of Flict? Or HIPAA? Conclusion	Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
services, including civil commitment and detention). Notice of Privacy Practices \$164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of Solution of Privacy Practices (acknowledgment) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of Thus, CEs that are	Wiissouri Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations				
providers may disclose PHI to mental health coordinators for purposes of committing an individual for involuntary treatment only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. Permitted Disclosures The facility or program may disclose information and records in the following circumstances.	Permitted Disclosures The facility or program may disclose information and records in the following circumstances.	 §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 			compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI to mental health coordinators for purposes of committing an individual for involuntary treatment only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
1. As authorized by the patient. \$164.508 Authorizations Yes HIPAA • CEs may disclose PHI as authorized by	•	9	103		_	

¹⁷⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	v e	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	permitted under HIPAA, a CE may not use or disclose PHI without an authorization. HIPAA has a list of core elements and requirements concerning the content of authorizations.			the patient pursuant to \$630.140.3(1), RSMo only if the content of the authorization complies with HIPAA requirements or the disclosure falls under a HIPAA exception.	
 2. To persons or agencies responsible for providing health care services to patients, residents or clients. 3. As necessary for a recipient to make a claim for aid or insurance. 	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI to those responsible for 	

¹⁷⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	v 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
4. To qualified personnel conducting scientific research, management audits, financial audits, program evaluations or similar studies (but cannot identify individual patients in any report of such research, audits and studies).	Research §164.512(i) CEs may use and disclose PHI for research purposes if they meet certain requirements.	Yes	HIPAA	providing health care services, as necessary for payment purposes and as necessary for operational functions such as audits, evaluations and similar studies only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. CEs may only disclose PHI for research purposes if they comply with HIPAA research requirements, which in this case are more stringent than Missouri law.	Electronic HTE
5. To the courts as necessary for the	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings	Yes	HIPAA	• Though this provision of	

¹⁷⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Trissouri Statute	in in invited regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
administration of Chapter 211, RSMo (juvenile courts), Chapter 475, RSMo (guardianship under the probate code), Chapter 552, RSMo (criminal proceedings involving mental illness), Chapter 632, RSMo (psychiatric services, including civil commitment and detention).	§164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	THE C.		Missouri law does not have any requirements for disclosure to courts for the delineated purposes, HIPAA has more stringent requirements that must be met if the request for records comes in any form other than a court order. Thus, CEs must follow HIPAA before disclosing records to the courts as permitted under this Missouri statute.	Electronic THE
6. To law enforcement officers or public health officers as necessary for them to carry out their duties.	 Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) CEs may disclose PHI for certain law enforcement purposes if they meet applicable requirements. 	Yes	HIPAA	Because HIPAA has more stringent requirements for disclosure of PHI to law enforcement officers than this particular provision of Missouri law, the HIPAA requirements must	

¹⁷⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				be followed in order to disclose PHI to law enforcement officers pursuant to this Missouri statute.	
7. Pursuant to a court or administrative order.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI pursuant to a court or administrative order as permitted under state law without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is permitted under HIPAA for judicial, administrative and law enforcement purposes.	
	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) • CEs may disclose PHI in				
	compliance with a court order, court-ordered				
	warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, grand jury subpoena or, if meet				

¹⁷⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
8. To the attorney representing petitioners under Chapter 632, RSMo (psychiatric services including civil commitment and detention) as necessary for them to carry out their duties.	certain requirements, an administrative request. TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.	Conflict? Yes	State Law or HIPAA? HIPAA	Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI to attorneys under this provision of state law for treatment	Implications for Electronic HIE
				purposes (determining whether involuntary commitment for treatment is	
				necessary) only if they comply with the	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
9. To DSS as necessary	Victims of Abuse, Neglect or	Yes	HIPAA	more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment. Though state law	
to report or investigate abuse or neglect. (Note: The Division of Aging is no longer part of DSS but has been moved to DHSS).	 ▶ 164.512(c) ♠ Except for reports of child abuse, CEs may report abuse, neglect or domestic violence to the extent such disclosure is authorized by law if 1. The CE reasonably believes that disclosure is necessary to prevent serious harm to the individual or other potential victims OR 2. The individual is unable to agree to the disclosure due to incapacity and the public official authorized to receive the PHI represents that the PHI is not intended to be used against the 			does not condition disclosure of PHI for purposes of reports or investigations of abuse or neglect on anything other than what is necessary to report or investigate the abuse or neglect, HIPAA has additional conditions and requirements in order for the CE to disclose such PHI and is thus more stringent. CEs may disclose PHI under this provision of Missouri law only if the CE has met the HIPAA requirements in §164.512(c), which	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	•	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	individual and immediate enforcement activity that depends upon the disclosure would be materially and adversely affected by waiting until the individual is able to agree to the disclosure. If the CE discloses PHI pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety of the individual is at risk.	met:	OF HIFAA:	include notification of the individual that a report is being made.	Electronic HTE
10. To a county board established under 205.968 to 205.972, RSMo (county sheltered workshops and developmental disability services).	 Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. 	Yes	НІРАА	• The purpose of sheltered workshops and developmental disability services under Chapter 205 clearly falls within the definition of health care provider. Thus, to the extent such workshops	

¹⁸²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, ,	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	TPO			engage in covered	
	§164.506			transactions, they are	
	 CEs may use and disclose 			CEs.	
	PHI for treatment,			Because the	
	payment and health care			disclosure of PHI by	
	operations.			CEs that are direct	
				treatment providers	
	Notice of Privacy Practices			for TPO under	
	§164.520(c)			HIPAA requires	
	• CEs that are direct			compliance with the	
	treatment providers must			requirements for the	
	provide the NPP to their			HIPAA NPP	
	patients and attempt to			acknowledgment,	
	obtain a written			HIPAA is more	
	acknowledgment of			stringent than state	
	receipt of the NPP.			law.	
				• Thus, CEs that are	
				direct treatment	
				providers may	
				disclose PHI to	
				another CE (the	
				county board	
				established under the	
				county sheltered	
				workshops and	
				developmental	
				disability services	
				statutes) under this	
				provision of state	
				law only if they	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
112155042150441		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
Notification of Illness or	Notification of Family,	Yes	HIPAA	HIPAA generally	
Death of a Patient,	Friends and Personal	and	and	has more	
Resident or Client	Representatives	No	State	requirements for	
§630.145	§164.510(b)(1)(ii)			disclosure in this	
A residential facility or	CEs may disclose PHI to			situation and thus is	
day program funded or	notify certain family			more stringent than	
licensed by DMH may	members, friends or			state law.	
disclose PHI, including	personal representatives			However, to the	
the status of an individual	who are responsible for			extent HIPAA	
as a patient, resident or	the individual's care.			would allow	
client of such a facility or	Such information may			disclosure of more	
program, or that the	include the individual's			than the patient's	
individual is seriously	location, general condition			status as a patient,	
physically ill or that the	or death.			resident or client, the	
patient has died and the cause of death.	The applicable			fact of their serious	
	requirements for			physical illness or their death and cause	
Depending on the aircumstances such	disclosure depend on				
circumstances, such	whether or not the patient			of death, state law is	
disclosure may be to the	is present at the time of the disclosure.			more stringent.Because Missouri	
next of kin, attorney,	uie disciosure.			Because Missouri law and HIPAA are	
guardian or conservator of the individual or a					
				not easily integrated in this context, CEs	
person responsible for				,	
payment.				should ensure	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
				compliance with both state law and HIPAA in these circumstances.	
Notification of Unauthorized Absence of Patients, Residents or Clients §630.150					
• Under certain circumstances, residential facilities or day programs may disclose the unauthorized absence of a patient, resident or client to relatives, law enforcement agencies and others as necessary to protect the patient, resident or other parties.	Notification of Family, Friends and Personal Representatives §164.510(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose to certain family members, friends or personal representatives PHI that is relevant to the person's involvement with the individual's care or payment for that care. Such information may include the individual's location, general condition or death. The applicable requirements for	Yes	HIPAA	 CEs may notify family members, law enforcement officials and others pursuant to state law only if additional requirements are met. Such requirements depend on the purpose of the disclosure. Because Missouri law and HIPAA are not easily integrated in this context, CEs should ensure compliance with both state law and 	

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1/22550 22 2 5 3 3 3 3 5 5		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	whether or not the patient			circumstances.	
	is present at the time of			• CEs may disclose	
	the disclosure.			the absence to	
				family members	
	Serious Threat to Health or			pursuant to state law	
	Safety			without violating	
	§164.512(j)(1)			HIPAA if it is	
	 A CE may, consistent 			relevant to their	
	with applicable law and			involvement in the	
	ethical standards, disclose			individual's care or	
	PHI if the CE has a good			payment for such	
	faith belief that such			care.	
	disclosure is necessary to			 CEs may disclose 	
	prevent or lessen a serious			the absence to law	
	and imminent threat to the			enforcement	
	health or safety of a			authorities without	
	person or the public AND			violating HIPAA if	
	the disclosure is to a			it is for the purpose	
	person reasonably able to			of apprehending and	
	prevent or lessen such			returning an	
	threat OR such disclosure			individual who	
	is necessary for law			escaped from lawful	
	enforcement authorities to			custody as defined in	
	identify or apprehend an			\$164.501 of HIPAA.	
	individual because of the			 CEs may disclose 	
	individual's involvement			the absence to	
	in a violent crime or			family members, law	
	where the individual has			enforcement	
	escaped from a			officials and others	
	correctional institution or			without violating	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	other form of lawful custody as it is defined under HIPAA.			HIPAA if it is for the purpose of averting a serious threat to health or safety and meets the requirements in §164.512(j) of HIPAA.	
• Under certain circumstances, mental health facilities <i>shall</i> disclose the unauthorized absence of a patient, resident or client committed to their custody pursuant to Chapter 552, RSMo (criminal proceedings involving mental illness) to the prosecutor and sheriff in the county in which the individual is detained and the trial occurred, all known surviving victims and any others as necessary for the protection of the patient, resident or other parties.	Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(ii) A CE may, consistent with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if the CE has a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary for law enforcement authorities to identify or apprehend an individual where the individual has escaped from a correctional institution or other form of lawful custody as it is defined under §164.501 of HIPAA. As Required by Law	No	State	 CEs may disclose the absence to law enforcement authorities such as the sheriff and prosecutor as required under state law without violating HIPAA because the individual would have escaped from lawful custody as defined in §164.501 of HIPAA and because it is required by law. CEs may disclose the absence to the victims and others pursuant to state law 	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 0	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	 §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. 			without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.	
Abuse or Neglect: Reporting and Investigation §§630.165, 630.167, and 630.168 9 CSR 10-5.200 • Various statutes require mandatory reporting of abuse or neglect to DMH, DHSS and law enforcement authorities. Such statutes also require cooperation in the investigation of such abuse or neglect.	Victims of Abuse, Neglect or Domestic Violence §164.512(c) CE may disclose PHI to an agency authorized to receive information about an individual believed to be a victim of abuse, neglect or domestic violence to the extent such disclosure is required by law and complies with the requirements of that law. If the CE discloses PHI pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety	Yes	HIPAA	 CEs may report and disclose PHI as part of an investigation of abuse or neglect pursuant to these sections of Missouri law without violating HIPAA because it is required by law. However, to the extent HIPAA requires the CE to provide notice of the disclosure to the abused or neglected individual, it is more stringent than Missouri law and must be complied 	

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	• 6	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	of the individual is at risk. As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f)	met.		with.	Electronic TITE
Research Activities	Research	Yes	State	 Both HIPAA and 	
Mental Health Facilities or	§164.512(i)	and	and	Missouri law have	
Programs	CEs may disclose PHI for	No	HIPAA	specific	
§630.192	research purposes without			requirements with	
 No biomedical or 	patient authorization if			respect to research.	
pharmacological research	such research is approved			• Each has restrictions	
can be conducted in	by an Institutional Review			that are more	
certain mental health	Board (IRB) or privacy			stringent than the	
facilities and programs,	board; the disclosure is for			other.	
residential facilities, or	the purpose of review in			Because they are not	
day programs unless the	preparation for research;			easily integrated, it	
purpose of such research	or the research involves			is best to comply	
is to alleviate or prevent	the PHI of decedents.			with both.	
disabling conditions or	Each exception has its				

¹⁸⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsia Caracter	Time invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
have a direct therapeutic benefit to the participants. • Participation by involuntary patients requires a court order.	own specific requirements and specific documentation is required for research based on IRB or privacy board approval.				
Residential Facilities or Day Programs §630.194 Research is permitted only if submitted to a professional review committee created under §630.193, RSMo and certain other requirements are met.					
Placement of Patients §§630.610; 630.620; 630.635, 630.810 and 630.855 §§630.610; 630.620; 630.635 • Placement of persons with a mental disorder or illness, mental retardation, developmental disability	TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their	Yes	HIPAA	 To the extent placement of individuals in a facility or program involves the use or disclosure of PHI, HIPAA is implicated. Placement of the individuals relates to obtaining treatment 	

¹⁹⁰

¹⁹¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Licensure: Residential Facilities or Day Programs §630.730 • Permits DMH or another government agency designated by DMH to perform a licensing inspection of residential facilities or day programs licensed by DMH.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	• CEs may disclose PHI to DMH or its agents for purposes of a licensing inspection without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Disclosure of Records §630.975 • Any hospital, physician, medical professional, mental health professional or Dept. of Mental Health shall disclose all records, medical or social, of any client who has died to the mental health fatality review panel for investigation.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc. As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the mental health fatality review panel for investigatory purposes without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	

¹⁹²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	requirements of such law.	met.	or im AA.	Conclusion	Electronic IIIE
	1				
	Alcoh	ol and D	rug Abuse		
Alcohol and Drug Abuse	TPO	Yes	State	Because the	
§§631.115; 631.120;	§164.506	and	and	disclosure of PHI by	
631.125; 631.135; 631.140;	CEs may use and disclose	No	HIPAA	CEs that are direct	
631.145; 631.150; 631.160;	PHI for treatment,		(depends on	treatment providers	
631.165; 631.170	payment and health care		the situation)	for TPO under	
 Provisions concerning 	operations.			HIPAA requires	
the detention, placement				compliance with the	
or transfer of individuals				requirements for the	
engaged in alcohol or	Notice of Privacy Practices			HIPAA NPP	
drug abuse. Disclosure	§164.520(c)			acknowledgment,	
of PHI is necessary to	CEs that are direct			HIPAA is more	
accomplish such	treatment providers must			stringent than state	
placements and transfers.	provide the NPP to their			law.	
	patients and attempt to			• Thus, CEs that are	
§631.135	obtain a written			direct treatment	
 Allows for notification of 	acknowledgment of			providers may	
the individual's guardian	receipt of the NPP.			disclose PHI for	
when an individual is				purposes of	
admitted to a drug or	Notification of Family,			placement of	
alcohol abuse facility.	Friends and Personal			patients only if they	
Such notification may be	Representatives			comply with the	
provided to a responsible	§164.510(b)(1)(i)			more stringent	
member of the	CEs may disclose to			requirements for the	
individual's immediate	certain family members,			HIPAA NPP	
family if the individual	friends or personal			acknowledgment.	
consents.	representatives PHI that is			• CEs may disclose to	
	relevant to the person's			an individual's	

¹⁹³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	involvement with the			guardian the fact of	
	individual's care or			the individual's	
	payment for that care.			admission to a	
	Such information may			facility without	
	include the individual's			violating HIPAA	
	location, general condition			because the guardian	
	or death.			is a personal	
	• The applicable			representative under	
	requirements for			HIPAA.	
	disclosure depend on			Though notification	
	whether or not the patient			of family members	
	is present at the time of			involved in the	
	the disclosure.			patient's care or	
				payment for such	
	Personal Representatives:			care is permissible	
	Adults and Emancipated			under HIPAA,	
	Minors			Missouri law is more	
	§164.5502(g)(2)			stringent in that it	
	• CEs may disclose PHI to a			requires consent of	
	person who has authority			the patient. Thus,	
	to act on behalf of an adult			CEs may disclose	
	or emancipated minor in			PHI to a family	
	making decisions related			member pursuant to	
	to health care.			this Missouri statute	
				only if they obtain	
				the patient's consent.	
				NOTE: To the extent	
				the federal	
				confidentiality of	
				substance abuse	

¹⁹⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion patient records statute (42 U.S.C. 290dd-2) and regulations (42 CFR part 2) are applicable, they must be considered.	Implications for Electronic HIE
	Comprehen	sive Psy	chiatric Servic	es	
Mental Health Records: Disclosure to Providers §§632.010, 632.385 and 632.489 §632.010 • As part of its oversight function, the Division of Comprehensive Psychiatric Services of DMH has access to information from Division programs for the purpose of evaluating their cost-and-benefit effectiveness.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the Division of Comprehensive Psychiatric Services without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
 §632.385 If a mental health facility determines that release of a patient is in the best 	TPO \$164.506 • CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment,	Yes	НІРАА	Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers	

¹⁹⁵

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	Time invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
interest of the patient, the facility must provide a copy of the conditions of release to the mental health facility that will be providing treatment upon release of the patient. Notice is also required when the conditions of release are modified.	payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.	inct:		for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI for treatment purposes only if they comply with the more stringent requirements of the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	Electronic THE
 \$632.489 An independent psychiatrist or psychologist performing a court-ordered examination of a suspected sexually violent predator may access confidential health 	Covered Entity §160.103 • A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.	No	State	Disclosure by the multidisciplinary team to a CE under this section does not implicate HIPAA because the multidisciplinary team itself is not a CE, even though	

¹⁹⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	, S	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
information considered				some individual	
by the multidisciplinary				members of the team	
team.				may be CEs in their	
				own health care	
				practices.	
Mental Health Records:	TPO	Yes	HIPAA	Because the	
Disclosure to MHCs	§164.506			disclosure of PHI by	
§§632.300, 632.315,	 CEs may use and disclose 			CEs that are direct	
632.330, 632.340, 632.370,	PHI for treatment,			treatment providers	
632.375 and 632.390	payment and health care			for TPO under	
	operations.			HIPAA requires	
§632.300	1			compliance with the	
Mental health	Notice of Privacy Practices			requirements for the	
coordinators, which are	§164.520(c)			HIPAA NPP	
defined as mental health	 CEs that are direct 			acknowledgment,	
professionals employed	treatment providers must			HIPAA is more	
by the state and	provide the NPP to their			stringent than state	
appointed by DMH, are	patients and attempt to			law.	
required to conduct an	obtain a written				
investigation when they	acknowledgment of			• Thus, CEs that are	
receive information that a	receipt of the NPP.			direct treatment	
person with a mental				providers may	
disorder presents a	As Required by Law			disclose PHI to the	
likelihood of serious	§164.512(a)			MHC for purposes	
harm to himself, herself	 CEs may use or disclose 			of detaining a person	
or others. As a result of	PHI without giving the			for treatment only if	
such investigation the	individual the opportunity			they comply with the	
MHC may file an	to agree or object and			more stringent	
application with the court	without an authorization if			requirements for the	

¹⁹⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
for a 96-hour hold for evaluation and treatment.	it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
 §632.315 A facility accepting a patient for evaluation and treatment pursuant to a 96-hour hold must file the application for the hold and other documents with the court and the regional MHC. 	requirements of such raw.				
 §§632.330, 632.340 The head of a mental health facility shall notify the MHC of the filing of a petition for additional detention for evaluation and treatment. 					
 §632.370 When an involuntary patient is transferred by DMH from one mental health program to another, notice of such transfer must be provided to the MHC for the region. 					

¹⁹⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
 \$632.375 Required reports of continuing examination and evaluation of involuntary patients must be sent to the MHC. 		met:	or HIPAA:	Conclusion	Electronic HTE
 \$632.390 When an involuntary patient is released from a mental health program, the head of the program must notify the MHC. 					
Mental Health Records: Disclosure to Family, Friends or Legal Guardians §\$632.175, 632.300, 632.370, 632.375 and 632.392					
 \$632.175 The condition of patients of mental health facilities must be reviewed at least once every 180 days. A copy of that review is required to be sent to the patient's guardian. 	Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) • CEs may disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the patient's guardian under §632.175, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such individual is a personal	

¹⁹⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
-1		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	making decisions related to health care.			representative of the patient under HIPAA in that they have the authority to act on behalf of the patient in making decisions related to health care.	
 As a result of an investigation of a person with a mental disorder at risk of serious harm to himself, herself or others, if the MHC determines that involuntary commitment is not necessary, the MHC should inform the person or the person's family or friends about agencies and courts that may be of assistance. 	Notification of Family, Friends and Personal Representatives §164.510(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose to certain family members, friends or personal representatives PHI that is relevant to the person's involvement with the individual's care or payment for that care. Such information may include the individual's location, general condition or death. The applicable requirements for disclosure depend on whether or not the patient is present at the time.	No	State	• CEs may disclose PHI to family and friends of an individual in order to explain sources of potential assistance without violating HIPAA because it is required by law and to the extent it is relevant to the family member or friend's involvement in the patient's care or payment for that care.	

²⁰⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
§632.370	As Required by Law	No	State	• When patient is	
When an involuntary	§164.512(a)			being transferred	
patient is transferred by	CEs may use or disclose			from one mental	
DMH from one mental	PHI without giving the			health program to	
health program to	individual the opportunity			another, CEs may	
another, notice of such	to agree or object and			disclose PHI to a	
transfer must be provided	without an authorization if			patient's guardian,	
to the patient's legal	it is required by law and is			parents, spouse or	
guardian, parents and	limited to the			nearest known	
spouse or if none known,	requirements of such law.			relative or friend	
to the patient's nearest				with consent of the	
known relative or friend,				patient.	
but such notice requires				• When patient is	
the consent of the patient				being transferred to	
or legal guardian or in				an agency of the	
the case of a minor, the				U.S., disclosure may	
minor's parent. Notice				be made to the	
must also be given to the				above listed people	
patient's last known				without patient	
attorney of record. If				consent pursuant to	
such transfer is to an				Missouri law	
agency of the United				without violating	
States notice to the legal				HIPAA because it is	
guardian, spouse, parents				required by law.	
and nearest known					
relative or friend does not					
appear to require consent					
of the patient.					

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 \$632.375 Required reports of continuing examination and evaluation of involuntary patients must be sent to the patient's attorney. 	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.	No	State	• CEs may disclose PHI to the patient's attorney under §632.375, RSMo without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law. A patient's attorney is not likely to be considered a personal representative of the patient under HIPAA in that they ordinarily do not have the authority to act on behalf of the patient in making decisions related to health care.	
• §632.392 When certain involuntary patients are released, a mental health program and any treating physician <i>may</i> disclose confidential medically necessary or safety-related treatment	Notification of Family, Friends and Personal Representatives §164.510(b)(1)(i) CEs may disclose to certain family members, friends or personal representatives PHI that is	Yes and No	State and HIPAA (depends on the situation)	Though HIPAA would allow disclosure based on the person's involvement in the patient's care or payment for care if the patient is given	

²⁰²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1/11550411 5040410	11111111111111	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
information to "care providers" (individuals who are primarily responsible for the patient's health care – not including those providing care through hospitals, nursing homes, group homes or similar facilities). Such disclosure requires an attempt to obtain the consent of the patient.	relevant to the person's involvement with the individual's care or payment for that care. Such information may include the individual's location, general condition or death. • The applicable requirements for disclosure depend on whether or not the patient is present at the time of the disclosure.			the opportunity to agree or object, Missouri law requires an attempt to obtain consent but would still allow disclosure without such consent. The two laws are consistent if the patient consents to the disclosure. However, if the patient does not consent, the more stringent HIPAA standard would not allow disclosure and must be followed.	Executonic Title
Mental Health Records: Disclosure to Courts	As Required by Law §164.512(a)	Yes and	State and	CEs may disclose PHI to the courts	
§§632.175, 632.300,	 CEs may use or disclose 	No	HIPAA	under §§632.175,	
632.305, 632.315, 632.330,	PHI without giving the		(depends on	632.375, 632.498,	
632.335, 632.340, 632.345,	individual the opportunity		the situation)	632.300, 632.305,	
632.355, 632.370, 632.375,	to agree or object and			632.315, 632.330,	
632.385, 632.390, 632.489	without an authorization if			632.340, 632.345,	
and 632.498	it is required by law and is			632.355, 632.370,	
88/22 185 /22 285 /22 400	limited to the			632.385 and	
§§632.175, 632.375, 632.498	requirements of such law.			632.390, RSMo,	

²⁰³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Required reports of	TPO			without violating	
continuing examination	§164.506			HIPAA because it is	
and evaluation of	CEs may use and disclose			required by law.	
involuntary patients,	PHI for treatment,				
including patients	payment and health care			To the extent	
determined to be sexually	operations.			disclosure is not	
violent predators, must				required by law, CEs	
be sent to the court.	Notice of Privacy Practices			may disclose PHI to	
	§164.520(c)			the courts for	
§632.300	CEs that are direct			treatment purposes	
• As a result of an	treatment providers must			but, because the	
investigation of a person	provide the NPP to their			disclosure of PHI by	
with a mental disorder at	patients and attempt to			CEs that are direct	
risk of serious harm to	obtain a written			treatment providers	
himself, herself or others,	acknowledgment of			for TPO under	
the MHC may file an	receipt of the NPP.			HIPAA requires	
application with the court				compliance with the	
for a 96-hour hold for				requirements for the	
evaluation and treatment.				HIPAA NPP	
0.600.00				acknowledgment,	
§632.305				HIPAA is more	
Any person (including a				stringent than state	
health care provider) may				law.	
file an application with				• Thus, CEs that are	
the court for the 96-hour				direct treatment	
hold for evaluation and				providers may	
treatment of a person				disclose PHI to the	
with a mental disorder				courts for treatment	
believed to be at risk for				purposes only if they	
serious harm to himself,				comply with the	

²⁰⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
herself or others.				more stringent	
				requirements for the	
§632.315				HIPAA NPP	
 A facility accepting a 				acknowledgment.	
patient for evaluation and					
treatment pursuant to a					
96-hour hold must file					
the application for the					
hold and other					
documents to the court					
and the designated MHC for the region.					
for the region.					
§§632.330, 632.335,					
632.340, 632.355					
• A mental health facility					
or MHC may file a					
petition with the court for					
additional inpatient or					
outpatient detention.					
0.622.270					
§632.370					
• When an involuntary					
patient is transferred by DMH from one mental					
health program to					
another or from a mental					
health program to an					
agency of the United					
States for hospitalization,					

²⁰⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
notice of such transfer					
must be provided to the					
court that ordered the					
detention/commitment.					
§632.385					
• If a mental health facility					
determines that release of					
a patient is in the best					
interest of the patient, the					
facility must notify the					
court of the conditions of					
release. Notice is also					
required when the					
conditions of release are					
modified.					
§632.390					
When an involuntary					
patient is released from a					
mental health program,					
the head of the program					
must notify the court.					
§632.345					
• A person has a right to					
request examination by a					
court-appointed					
physician or psychologist					
and to have such					

²⁰⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
physician or psychologist testify for purposes of determining whether involuntary commitment is necessary. §632.489 • The psychiatrist or	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2)	flict?	or HIPAA? State	Disclosure by the multidisciplinary	Electronic HIE
psychologist performing a court-ordered examination of a suspected sexually violent predator has a right to access all materials provided to and considered by the multidisciplinary team and must provide the results of such examination to the court.	 CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f) 			team to a psychiatrist or psychologist performing a court- ordered examination under this provision of Missouri law does not implicate HIPAA because the multidisciplinary team itself is not a CE, even though some individual	
	 Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health 			members of the team may be CEs in their own health care practices. • The psychiatrist or	
	information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA.			psychologist performing the court-ordered examination may	

²⁰⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.			disclose the results of that examination without violating HIPAA because such disclosure is required by law and is pursuant to a court-order.	
Mental Health Records: Disclosure to Law Enforcement Officers §§632.300, 632.305, 632.337, 632.370, 632.455, 632.483 and 632.484, §632.300 • If the investigation of a person by the MHC reveals that the person with a mental disorder is at imminent risk of serious harm to himself, herself or others, the	As Required by Law §164.512(a); 164.512(a)(2) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. CEs must meet additional requirements for disclosures for 164.512 (c), (e), and (f).	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to law enforcement officials such as police officers and prosecutors pursuant to §§632.300, 632.370, 632.483 and 632.484, RSMo without violating HIPAA because it is required by law.	

²⁰⁸

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	·	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
MHC must request a law enforcement officer to take custody of and transport the person to a mental health facility pending the filing of an application for a 96-hour hold for evaluation and treatment.	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f)(1) CEs may disclose PHI to a law enforcement official for law enforcement purposes as required by law.				
 §632.370 When an involuntary patient is transferred by DMH from one mental health program to another, notice of such transfer must be provided to the prosecuting attorney if such patient was committed pursuant to Chapter 552, RSMo (criminal proceedings involving mental illness). 					
 §632.483 When it appears that a person meets the criteria of a sexually violent predator, the agency with jurisdiction (which in 					

²⁰⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsouii Statute	Time invacy regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
some circumstances may					
be DMH) must notify the					
Attorney General and the					
multidisciplinary team as					
defined in this section.					
The multidisciplinary					
team (which may include					
health care providers),					
must make its assessment					
available to the Attorney					
General and the					
Prosecutor's Review					
Committee, as defined in					
this section.					
§632.484					
• Upon an appropriate					
referral of a person by					
the court, DMH must					
determine if the person is					
a sexually violent					
predator and provide a					
report of its investigation					
and evaluation to the					
Attorney General.					
§632.305	TPO	Yes	State	Because the	
• If anyone believes a	§164.506	and	and	disclosure of PHI by	
person with a mental	CEs may use and disclose	No	HIPAA	CEs that are direct	
disorder is at risk for	PHI for treatment,		(depends on	treatment providers	

²¹⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
serious harm to himself,	payment and health care		the situation)	for TPO under	
herself or others, that	operations.			HIPAA requires	
person may file an	-			compliance with the	
application for a 96-hour	Notice of Privacy Practices			requirements for the	
hold for evaluation and	§164.520(c)			HIPAA NPP	
treatment. If the MHC	CEs that are direct			acknowledgment,	
determines that the	treatment providers must			HIPAA is more	
person with the mental	provide the NPP to their			stringent than state	
disorder who is the	patients and attempt to			law.	
subject of the application	obtain a written			• Thus, CEs that are	
is at imminent risk of	acknowledgment of			direct treatment	
serious harm to himself,	receipt of the NPP.			providers may	
herself or others, the				disclose PHI as	
MHC may request a law	Serious Threat to Health or			necessary to initiate	
enforcement officer to	Safety			detention	
take the person into	§164.512(j)(1)(i)			proceedings to	
custody for a 96-hour	A CE may, consistent			provide treatment on	
hold for evaluation and	with applicable law and			an involuntary basis	
treatment.	ethical standards, disclose			only if they comply	
	PHI if the CE has a good			with the more	
§632.337	faith belief that such			stringent	
• If a supervisory mental	disclosure is necessary to			requirements for the	
health program	prevent or lessen a serious			HIPAA NPP	
determines that a person	and imminent threat to the			acknowledgment.	
subject to court-ordered	health or safety of a			 Also, CEs that are 	
outpatient detention	person or the public AND			direct treatment	
needs inpatient detention,	the disclosure is to a			providers may	
the program may direct	person reasonably able to			disclose PHI to law	
such detention at an	prevent or lessen such			enforcement officers	
appropriate facility and	threat.			as necessary to	

²¹¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
may authorize the sheriff				apprehend and	
to detain and transport				detain an individual	
the person to that facility.				for treatment	
				purposes only if they	
				comply with the	
				more stringent	
				requirements for the	
				HIPAA NPP	
				acknowledgment.	
				• CEs also may	
				disclose PHI to a	
				law enforcement	
				officer pursuant to	
				§§632.305 and	
				632.337, RSMo	
				without violating	
				HIPAA because in	
				both cases, the	
				disclosure is made in	
				order to prevent	
				imminent serious	
				harm as	
				contemplated under	
				§164.51(j) of	
				HIPAA.	
0.522.455			a.		
§632.455	Serious Threat to Health or	No	State	• The head of a mental	
Under certain	Safety			health program may	
circumstances, the head	§164.512(j)(1)(ii)			disclose such PHI as	
of a mental health	A CE may, consistent			is necessary to	

²¹²

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wilsbouri Statute	Time invacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
program may request the sheriff to apprehend a patient who is absent without authorization and return the patient to the program.	with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if the CE has a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary for law enforcement authorities to identify or apprehend an individual because of the individual's involvement in a violent crime or where the individual has escaped from a correctional institution or other form of lawful custody as it is defined under HIPAA.	inct:		request the sheriff to apprehend an individual who is absent without authorization from such program without violating HIPAA because the individual has effectively escaped from lawful custody.	Electronic THE
Mental Health Records: Waiver of Privileges §§632.425, 632.510, §632.425 • The statutory physician- patient and psychologist- patient privileges are waived in detention proceedings to the extent that the evidence is material and relevant to the proceedings.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery	Yes	HIPAA	• As part of detention proceedings, state law allows admission of PHI into evidence, regardless of privilege if it is relevant and material. Because HIPAA would allow such disclosure only if certain	

²¹³

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	request or other lawful process.	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion requirements are met or there is a court or administrative order, HIPAA is more stringent than state law and must be followed.	Implications for Electronic HIE
	Mental Retardation	and De	velopmental D	oisabilities	
Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Programs §633.010 • As part of its oversight function, the Division of Retardation and Developmental Disabilities, a division of DMH, has access to information from mental retardation or developmental disabilities programs for the purpose of evaluating their cost-and-benefit effectiveness.	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to the Division of Retardation and Developmental Disabilities as it may be relevant to their cost-benefit analysis without violating HIPAA because the Division's access is for health oversight purposes.	
Mental Retardation or Developmental Disabilities: Transfer and Referral of	TPO \$164.506 • CEs may use and disclose	Yes	HIPAA	Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct	

²¹⁴

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	•	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
Individuals §§633.120, 633.130, 633.135, 633.145 and 633.150 • These provisions permit transfers or referrals of residents of certain facilities to other facilities as described in those sections.	PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP.			treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI for purposes of a transfer or referral to a mental retardation facility only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
 \$633.145 Transfers between two mental retardation facilities under \$633.145 requires notice to the resident being transferred 	 As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and 	No	State	CEs may provide notice of a transfer to the resident's guardian or next of kin without violating HIPAA because it is	

²¹⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
or that individual's guardian or next of kin.	without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law.			required by law.	
Mentally Retarded Residents: Detention or Release Requiring Court Proceedings §§633.125, 633.130 and 633.160 These provisions permit the initiation of proceedings by a facility for purposes of involuntary detention, discharge or referral of a patient, and the appointment of a guardian, respectively.	 TPO §164.506 CEs may use and disclose PHI for treatment, payment and health care operations. Notice of Privacy Practices §164.520(c) CEs that are direct treatment providers must provide the NPP to their patients and attempt to obtain a written acknowledgment of receipt of the NPP. 	Yes	HIPAA	 Involuntary detention, discharge or referral of a patient, and the appointment of a guardian all relate to the treatment of a patient. Because the disclosure of PHI by CEs that are direct treatment providers for TPO under HIPAA requires compliance with the requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment, HIPAA is more stringent than state law. Thus, CEs that are direct treatment providers may disclose PHI for 	

²¹⁶

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	=======================================	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
				purposes of involuntary detention, discharge or referral of a patient, and the appointment of a guardian only if they comply with the more stringent requirements for the HIPAA NPP acknowledgment.	
Notification of Unauthorized Absence from a Mental Retardation Facility §633.140 • When a resident of a mental retardation facility is absent without authorization, the facility may request the sheriff to apprehend the resident and return him to the facility.	Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(ii) A CE may, consistent with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if the CE has a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary for law enforcement authorities to identify or apprehend an individual because of the individual's involvement in a violent crime or where the individual has escaped from a	No	State	• A mental retardation facility may disclose such PHI as is necessary to request the sheriff to apprehend an individual who is absent from such facility without authorization because the individual has effectively escaped from lawful custody.	

²¹⁷

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations correctional institution or	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?		scussion and onclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	other form of lawful					
	custody as it is defined under HIPAA.					
	ulidel HIFAA.					
	Denartn	ent of S	ocial Services			
Abuse: Protective Services	As Required by Law	Yes	HIPAA	•	CEs may report the	
§§660.250, 660.255 660.260,	\$164.512(a)	103			need for protective	
660.261 and 660.263,	• CEs may use or disclose				services and provide	
660.270, 660.275, 660.285,	PHI without giving the				PHI pursuant to an	
660.290, 660.300, 660.305,	individual the opportunity				investigation of such	
660.310, 660.315	to agree or object and				report without	
	without an authorization if				violating HIPAA	
§660.255, 660.260, 660.261	it is required by law and is				when such reports	
• These statutes require	limited to the				and investigations	
reporting and	requirements of such law.				are required by law.	
investigation concerning				•	However, even if	
the need for protective	Victims of Abuse, Neglect or				reports of elder	
services to "eligible	Domestic Violence				abuse are required	
adults" who are likely to	§164.512(c)				by law, HIPAA adds	
suffer serious harm.	• Except for reports of child				the additional	
	abuse, CEs may report				requirement of	
§660.250	abuse, neglect or domestic				notice to the	
Eligible adult is defined	violence to the extent such				individual being	
as a person 60 years of	disclosure is required by				abused. To that	
age or older (or a person	law as long as the				extent, HIPAA is	
between 18 and 59 with a	disclosure conforms to				more stringent.	
mental disability) who is	that law.			•	Also, to the extent	
unable to protect his/her	CEs may report such				reports of elder	
own interests or	abuse as authorized by				abuse are permitted	

²¹⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
adequately perform or obtain services necessary to meet essential human needs. §§660.263, 660.320, and 660.321 • Confidentiality of reports and investigations. • Except that DSS shall provide access to reports by the Missouri Department of Labor (660.320) and law enforcement agencies, guardians, DMH, the	law only if 1. The CE reasonably believes that disclosure is necessary to prevent serious harm to the individual or other potential victims OR 2. The individual is unable to agree to the disclosure due to incapacity and the public official authorized to receive the PHI represents that the PHI is not intended	Conflict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion but not required, HIPAA has additional requirements for reporting as well as the additional notice requirement and is therefore more stringent. Thus, CEs may only report under state law if HIPAA would also allow such reporting and, if they do report, they must comply with the	Implications for Electronic HIE
eligible adult, and DSS (660.321).	to be used against the individual and immediate			notice requirement under HIPAA.	
 \$660.270 Procedure when report involves abuse or neglect. Permits DSS to request a warrant or injunction from a court if necessary. \$660.275 	enforcement activity that depends upon the disclosure would be materially and adversely affected by waiting until the individual is able to agree to the disclosure. • If the CE discloses PHI				
Permits DSS to petition the court when an	pursuant to this section of HIPAA, it must inform				

²¹⁹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissour Statute	iii iii i iivacy itegalations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
the court if an eligible adult is unable to consent	the individual of the disclosure except for certain delineated situations where the safety of the individual is at risk. Serious Threat to Health or Safety §164.512(j)(1)(i) CEs may, consistent with applicable law and ethical standards, disclose PHI if they have a good faith belief that such disclosure is necessary to prevent or lessen a serious and imminent threat to the health or safety of a person or the public AND the disclosure is to a person reasonably able to prevent or lessen such threat.	Yes	HIPAA	• In addition, disclosures that are permitted but not required would likely be permissible under the HIPAA exception regarding a serious threat to health or safety, but the disclosure must meet the requirements under HIPAA regarding the necessity of preventing or lessening a serious and imminent threat and ability of the person receiving the information to prevent or lessen the threat.	Electronic HIE

²²⁰

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
	Title 1 11 they magazine	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
 Permits DSS or head of medical facility to obtain a warrant, if necessary, to enter the premises and remove the eligible adult. Requires DSS or head of medical facility to obtain a court order for further treatment. The court shall conduct a hearing and appoint a guardian ad litem. Eligible adult with capacity has the right to refuse or discontinue 	Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	No	State	In addition, to the extent disclosures by DSS are related to the function of DSS as a health oversight agency and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not prohibit such disclosures.	
 \$660.300 Requires reporting of abuse of in-home services clients by a designated list of individuals under certain circumstances. Permits reporting of such abuse by other individuals. Requires case manager to investigate such reports. Permits DSS or local 	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) CE may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain requirements are met, in response to a subpoena, discovery request or other lawful process.	Yes	HIPAA	Once a petition is filed in court pursuant to the state law provisions, disclosure of PHI is permissible under HIPAA, but only if the HIPAA requirements for judicial and administrative proceedings are met.	

²²¹

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
1/11550411 544444	Till I II wey Itegulusons	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
prosecuting attorney to file a petition for temporary care but, upon the request of DSS, the attorney general must file such a petition. Reports shall be confidential. Civil and administrative penalties. Prohibits retaliation based on reporting.		211000			
 §660.305 Permits reporting of financial misappropriation of client funds or the falsification of documents regarding the delivery of services. Requires DSS to investigate and report violations to appropriate law enforcement agency. §660.310 Review by the Administrative Hearing Commission. 					

²²²

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
 \$660.315 Requires notification of individuals when they are placed on the employee disqualification list. Requires a hearing when individuals challenge the decision to place them on the list. 					
Records Disclosed §660.321 • Allows disclosure of medical records of an adult being served by DHSS when ordered by a court or for examination and copying to the following: DHSS; AG;	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to DHSS and DMH without violating HIPAA because disclosure is a health oversight activity.	
Dept. of Mental Health; any appropriate law enforcement agency; and the eligible adult or guardian.	Judicial and Administrative Proceedings §164.512(e)(1)(i) • CEs may disclose PHI in the course of any judicial or administrative proceeding in response to an order by the court or administrative tribunal or, if certain circumstances are met, in response to a subpoena,	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI pursuant to a court order to AG without violating HIPAA.	

²²³

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
	discovery request or other lawful process.				
	Law Enforcement Purposes §164.512(f) • CEs may disclose PHI in compliance with a court order, court-ordered warrant, subpoena or summons issued by a judicial officer, grand jury subpoena or, if certain requirements are met, an administrative request.	Yes	HIPAA	CEs may not disclose PHI to law enforcement without a court order, warrant, subpoena, summons or administrative request pursuant to HIPAA.	
	Personal Representatives: Adults and Emancipated Minors §164.5502(g)(2) • A CE may use or disclose PHI to a person who has authority to act on behalf of an adult or emancipated minor in making decisions related to health care.	No	State	CEs may disclose PHI to a person acting on behalf of an adult without violating HIPAA if for decisions related to healthcare.	
Adult Day Care Programs: Investigation and Oversight §§660.407 and 660.414	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) • CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies	No	State	To the extent they are CEs, adult day care programs may disclose PHI to the	

²²⁴

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
The Division of Aging (part of DHSS) is authorized to conduct compliance investigations in licensed and unlicensed adult day care programs.	for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.			Division of Aging as part of a compliance investigation without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Child Sexual Abuse Cases §660.520 Establishes the "State Technical Assistance Team," which is required to assist various agencies in the investigation of child abuse, child neglect, child sexual abuse, child exploitation, child pornography, or child fatality. Reports and records relating to criminal investigations handled by the Team must be made available in the same manner as other law enforcement reports as set forth in §§610.100 to 610.200 and to individuals as provided	As Required by Law §164.512(a) CEs may use or disclose PHI without giving the individual the opportunity to agree or object and without an authorization if it is required by law and is limited to the requirements of such law. Preemption Exception §160.203(c) Generally, HIPAA preempts contrary state laws. One exception to that rule is when the state law provides for the reporting of disease or injury, child abuse, birth or death, or for the conduct of public	No	State	 Because investigation by the Team is required by law, it is permissible under HIPAA. Investigation of child abuse or neglect as required under state law is permissible without violating HIPAA because such investigation is exempted from preemption and disclosure is required by law and/or is a permissible public health activity. 	

²²⁵

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
IVIISSOUIT Statute	Till Till Tilvaey Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
in §210.150.	health surveillance, investigation or intervention. Public Health Activities §164.512(b) CEs may disclose PHI to a public health authority authorized to receive such information for the purpose of preventing or controlling disease, injury or disability.	THEC.		Concrasion	Dicti onic PPD
 Long-Term Care Facilities: Ombudsman for Residents §660.603 The Office of the State Ombudsman for Long-Term Care Facility Residents is part of the Division of Aging, which is now part of DHSS. The ombudsman has the authority to enter any long-term care facility and have reasonable access to residents. The ombudsman may access the records of 	Health Oversight Activities §164.512(d) CEs may disclose PHI to health oversight agencies for oversight activities authorized by law, including audits, investigations, inspections, licensure etc.	Yes	State	• Under Missouri law, long-term care facilities may allow the ombudsman general access to patients on a reasonable basis but may only allow access to a resident's records if the resident consents. The requirement of patient consent for access to patient records is more stringent than	

²²⁶

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con- flict?	State Law or HIPAA?	Discussion and Conclusion	Implications for Electronic HIE
residents for general purposes if the resident consents. • However, the ombudsman has the authority to review any information he or she deems relevant to the investigation and verification of specific complaints.		No	State	HIPAA and must be followed, even though HIPAA would otherwise allow such access as part of health oversight activities. • If the ombudsman is in the facility for the investigation of a specific complaint, the CE may disclose PHI, including the records of residents, to the extent it is necessary for such investigation without obtaining the patient's consent and without violating HIPAA because it is a permissible health oversight activity.	
Long-Term Care Facilities: Ombudsman's Records §660.605 The ombudsman's records are confidential.	 Covered Entity §160.103 A covered entity includes health care providers that transmit health 	No	State	Because the state law limitations on disclosure by the ombudsman relate to the function of	

²²⁷

^{*}This document does not address electronic signatures or contracts under federal law. Under Missouri law, electronic records, contracts and signatures are legal and enforceable in accordance with the law per RSMo. §432.230.

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
Wissouri Statute	IIII AA I IIvacy Regulations	flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
The identity of any resident of a long-term care facility may not be disclosed by the ombudsman unless the resident consents or as required by a court order.	information in electronic form in connection with a transaction covered by HIPAA. Hybrid Entity §164.504(a) • A hybrid entity is a type of covered entity that has covered and non-covered functions. Such entities have the obligation to designate their health care components. Health Care Component §164.504(b) • HIPAA only applies to the health care component of a hybrid entity.	inct:	of IIII AA:	DHSS as a health oversight agency and not as a covered health care provider, HIPAA does not regulate such disclosure. Thus, disclosure by the ombudsman is governed by state law.	Executoric Title
Elderly Advocate	Health Oversight Activities	No	State	• To the extent a CE is	
Investigation	§164.512(d)			responding to a	
\$660.620	CEs may disclose PHI to health aversight a sension			request by an elderly	
An elderly advocate An elderly advocate Office of	health oversight agencies			advocate during the	
working for the Office of	for oversight activities			course of an	
Advocacy and Assistance	authorized by law,			investigation within	
for the Elderly within the	including audits,			the scope of the	
Office of the Lieutenant	investigations,			advocate's authority,	
Governor is required by	inspections, licensure etc.			the CE may disclose	

²²⁸

Missouri Statute	HIPAA Privacy Regulations	Con-	State Law	Discussion and	Implications for
		flict?	or HIPAA?	Conclusion	Electronic HIE
law to conduct				PHI without	
investigation of				violating HIPAA	
complaints within their				because it is a	
scope of authority.				permissible health	
				oversight activity.	